

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_130063
Title of the Manuscript:	Post shallow-landslide habitat disturbance and vegetation recovery status in a wet montane wildlife sanctuary in the eastern highlands of Zimbabwe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript delves with a serious issue for the hill area settlements. Specifically in the heavy monsoon dominated regions in Asian countries. This type of researches is expected to recommend the ways to mitigate the shallow land slide issues in a sustainable approach.	Thank you and recommendation provided
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Minor corrections in the abstract is needed as mentioned in the reviewed manuscript.	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Though the references are recent and sufficient number, the author might enrich with more recent and relevant references.	Additional literature included.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality might be improved for enhancing the language quality.	Thank you and updated
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has used contemporary scientific methods of research. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Noted and no ethical issues included in the manuscript