

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_129199
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Concentration of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Otamiri River Owerri Southeast Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors are detected and made a valuable data set of PAHs for Otamiri River, Nigeria. Methods used for chemical analysis of PAHs in river water and fish samples is precise and well established. I think data set having a high importance for scientific community around the globe. However, local GOs and NGOs can used this data set for pollution fighting and for creation of future policies to ensure sustainable development.	Good
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the research can be revised as "Identification and quantification of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Otamiri River Owerri, Southeast Nigeria"	Suitable
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have corrected the abstract in track change file, which will be uploaded. Please find it.	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes,	yes
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	yes
Optional/General comments		You can undertake any necessary corrections

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) agreed with reviewer corrections</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No