

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130932
Title of the Manuscript:	A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MERGER AND ACQUISITION OF PUBIC SECTOR BANKS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a good area of study due to contemporary period of banking consolidation but the author needs to do proper reworking on the paper.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback . I do acknowledge that as an author I must mention the significance of my manuscript and also how it will benefit others. Based on valuable I will try to rework on it.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The topic should reflect the second leg of the paper: The impact of Merger and acquisition of public banks on banking sector in India.	Thank you sir/maam, I will amend the title as per suggestions to put up best title
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. The abstract should include only the problem, methodology, results, conclusion and recommendation.	Thank you sir/maam, I will do the needful, undoubtedly the abstract should clear the important key aspects of manuscript.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The findings are not clear.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback, I will try to clarify the findings. I feel blessed to know the loopholes in my manuscript so that I can rectify it.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient and recent. Even majority of the references are not sighted in the paper.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback, I would add some more recent references and site them accordingly as I too felt its necessity.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The communication is not flowing properly. Some sentences are ambiguous and need to be rephrased.	I would try to make statements more clear so as to be unambiguous. It will make scholarly communication better
Optional/General comments	The whole article requires proper editing.	I will try on to make the manuscript informative with clarity in words.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No, there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.