

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130932
Title of the Manuscript:	A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MERGER AND ACQUISITION OF PUBIC SECTOR BANKS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the financial and operational impact of the Allahabad Bank and Indian Bank merger, contributing to the understanding of public sector bank consolidations in India. Its findings are essential for policymakers, financial analysts, and researchers studying banking sector reforms and economic stability.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback . I do acknowledge that as an author I must mention the significance of my manuscript and also how it will benefit others. Based on valuable I will try to rework on it.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thank you sir/maam. I have tried to give the title as per the journal.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, covering the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and broader implications.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback, the abstract shows the manuscript details.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically sound.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback, I would try to make more efficient.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient. Please add more references like following 2: 1. Chiamonte, L., Dreassi, A., Piserà, S., Khan, A. (2023). Mergers and acquisitions in the financial industry: A bibliometric review and future research directions. <i>Research in International Business and Finance</i> , 64: 101837. 2. Munshi, S. A., Das, A., Barman, B. (2022) Bank Merger: Boon or Bane for Indian Economy?. <i>Food and Scientific Reports</i> , 3(9):15-18. <a href="https://foodandscientificreports.com/details/bank-merger-boon-or-bane-for-indian-economy.html">https://foodandscientificreports.com/details/bank-merger-boon-or-bane-for-indian-economy.html</a>	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback, I would add some more recent references and site them accordingly as I too felt its necessity.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language is suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from grammatical refinements and improved sentence structure for better clarity and coherence.	Thank you sir/maam for your valuable feedback, I would like to remove ambiguity if any.
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.