

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130832
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate Social Responsibility and Good Corporate Governance: The Role of Financial Stability as Intervening Variable
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic selected is good for the community in general. I am sharing a couple of observations made. A literature review is missing. Since the population size is tiny (only 9 Sharia commercial banks & 5-year period), I find the author's views and findings are very limited, and thus relevance is in a question mark. It was quite surprising to note various test results are carried out (are they required?) and which itself has utilized maximum space under results & discussion, as I could hardly find fruitful debate. The manuscript does not fall under the scientific community as many things, approaches, and perspectives are to be rewritten, which will then provide much-needed depth.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I am okay with the title of the article. Maybe if the author (s) once decides to re-write as suggested in the previous para, they can re-look at the title with a fresh mind.	Ok thanks for the suggestions
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is okay, though it is not fully comprehensive in its present format. Can add a few more lines to set the tone.	Revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	As mentioned in my first para I find the manuscript is scientifically incorrect. The author has not made full efforts and preferred to present a very limited view without a literature review and with a very limited portion under discussion, which raises doubts about the relevance of the manuscript for the scientific community.	Revised as per comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The list of references - more references are required that will help bring greater views thereby allowing healthy debate among stakeholders who will find it meaningful then.	Done
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is okay.	Ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Much work remains as outlined in previous paragraphs.	Revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	