

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130812
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Green Supply Chain Management and Competitive Advantage on Sustainability Performance in SMEs Bandung, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper study the influence of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) and Competitive Advantage on Sustainability Performance. Authors analyses the 100-feedback collected from the SMEs of Bandung, Indonesia.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article title is conflicting with the abstract. The title does not reflect the research carried out in whole.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is unclear, as the title involves the study of SMEs and abstract involves the study of MSME sector.	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	How the sample size was calculated. Sample size of 100 looks very small by all means.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References looks okay.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language /English quality is poor need careful editing to remove grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	<div>1) Please refer to ‘In the research [19], [20] Green Supply Chain Management has no effect on Sustainability Performance, nor on research [21] Sustainability Performance is not affected by Competitive Advantage. Therefore, further research is needed’ is incorrect way of reporting.</div> <div>2) All hypotheses are constrained by “SMEs in the City of Bandung”, which means the results are applicable to local SMEs limited to City of Bandung only and not reflecting Indonesia SMEs.</div> <div>3) Conclusion is very poorly written.</div> <div>4) Please refer to ‘The analysis technique used is Partial Least Square using SmartPLS 3 software. The measurement of variables in this study used a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = neutral; 4 = agree; and 5 = Strongly Agree)’, in conclusion looks disjointed.</div> <div>5) ‘...and a P-value of 0 which is...’ should be ‘....and a P-value of 0.000 which is...’</div> <div>6) There are several typos, and the paper is poorly written. The paper must be edited thoroughly to remove typos.</div> <div>7) Under section Introduction ‘between Supply Chain Management. (? ).’</div> <div>8) Under section 3 METHODE should be 3 METHOD</div> <div>9) Under section 4.4 DISCUSSION the t-statistic <math>\hat{\gamma}</math> and the P-Values <math>\hat{\gamma}</math> 0.005.</div> <div>10) Under section 4.4.1 The Effect of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) on the Sustainability Performance (SP) of Food and Beverage SMEs in the City of Bandung (7.193 <math>\hat{\gamma}</math> 1.96)</div> <div>11) Under section 4.4.2, (22.832 <math>\hat{\gamma}</math> 1.96)</div> <div>12) Under section 4.4.3 the t-table (4.215 <math>\hat{\gamma}</math> 1.96)</div> <div>13) Under section 4.4.4 the t-table (4.036 <math>\hat{\gamma}</math> 1.96),</div> <div>I have no competing interest issues with this manuscript</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	