

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Dental Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJDS_130894 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | TO EVALUATE SIMULTANEOUS INTRUSION AND RETRACTION OF MAXILLARY ANTERIOR TEETH WITH K-SIR ARCH –A CLINICAL STUDY |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This is a good manuscript, there is novelty, K sir is one of the good means of Intrusion and retraction so a study on this topic will be beneficial to users | Already written and also about the disadvantages of KSIR arch |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes it is a suitable title | Yes |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is fine. | Yes |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes but the results part is lacking there is no effort to explain the results, readings and the tables | Relevant part is explained in the discussion . |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | fine | Yes |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | Yes |
| Optional/General comments | To be accepted with some addition in results section | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | No |