

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_130662
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe Ischemic Cardiomyopathy in a Young Smoker: A Rare Case of Apical Thrombus and Ventricular Tachycardia
Type of the Article	Case report

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Interesting case report.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	OK	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO. The APA style citations do not match the AMA style references. This is a major error and needs to be rectified. The APA style notations should be removed - and the AMA style citations/ references should be rechecked for accuracy. The reference #1 should state in parenthesis that it is in French. The inclusion of PMID, PMCID and PMC in the references is not needed.	Noted and revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Acronym LAD in the abstract is questionable as it is not used again (in the abstract). ACE is well known in the medical field and is acceptable 'as is' in the abstract.  2.LAD in the case presentation should be spelled out and then put in parenthesis.  3. A mention should be made on the coronary artery status of the RCA and CFX as seen on the angiogram.  4. The disclaimer is not clear - as to whether option 1 or option 2 is applicable.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	