

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_130477
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review on CRISPR Technology in the Treatment and Understanding of Cardiacmyopathy
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Notes</p> <p>Consider incorporating more recent studies and emerging trends in CRISPR research to enhance originality.</p> <p>Clarify objectives in the introduction to guide the reader more explicitly.</p> <p>Add subheadings in longer sections for improved readability.</p> <p>Ensure that all technical terms are explained for accessibility</p> <p>While the goals of the review are implied, a more explicit articulation of the objectives could enhance the reader's understanding of the manuscript's direction. A clear statement outlining the significance of CRISPR in advancing treatment options should be included.</p> <p>Introduce additional subheadings within longer sections to facilitate easier navigation and improve clarity.</p> <p>Consider integrating bullet points or tables to summarize key findings or comparisons between different types of CRISPR applications.</p> <p>If possible, include diagrams that summarize the therapeutic strategies or outcomes linked to CRISPR applications in cardiac myopathy.</p>	Thanks for the comments. Noted and revised as per the suggestion.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	