

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_130624
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ATYPICAL CASE OF PILONIDAL SINUS IN THE PERIANAL REGION
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This case report examines the unusual perianal pilonidal sinus presentation while focusing on clinical diagnosis and microbiological undertakings and surgical approaches. The document presents valuable understanding of typical diagnostic methods combined with microbial identification along with treatment approaches for these unusual cases. The approach combines several medical disciplines and personalized care plans while being essential to reach optimal results. The rising number of drug-resistant infections motivates usage of cultures to guide antibiotic therapy treatments for surgical cases thereby leading to improved strategies across similar surgeries.	The manuscript is according to the peer review.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The existing title contains a clear description but needs further precision to exhibit distinctive case elements.	A more precised title is being added as per the review.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Different parts of the paper receive clear synthesis in the abstract including the main objective along with the case details, discussion section and conclusion. However: Postoperative outcome measurements including healing completion time and follow-up duration could benefit from additional description.	Abstract is modified as per the suggestions
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript presents scientific evidence through accurate descriptions of clinical symptoms along with diagnostic techniques and therapeutic approaches. Previous studies referenced in the manuscript strengthen both arguments and lay the groundwork for understanding the case's situation. However, the discussion could benefit from: An expanded analysis against documented cases of perianal pilonidal sinus, focused on demonstrating distinctive features particular to this instance.	An expanded analysis on this case report is given
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The study includes both recent references and relevant content consisting of primary research articles and reviews. Nonetheless, some additional references may strengthen the discussion: Articles on advancements in negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) for pilonidal sinus. More recent systematic reviews on the treatment of pilonidal sinus in rare anatomical locations.	More relevant references are also added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript has acceptable language quality but needs selected modifications to meet deductive scholarly communicative requirements. The scientific content would benefit from professional manuscript editing services provided by experts in academic manuscripts. Scientific findings will become more effectively communicated through manuscript revisions that enhance grammar along with syntax and clarity.	Yes , reader friendly
Optional/General comments	The study includes both recent references and relevant content consisting of primary research articles and reviews. Nonetheless, some additional references may strengthen the discussion: Articles on advancements in negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) for pilonidal sinus. More recent systematic reviews on the treatment of pilonidal sinus in rare anatomical locations.	Additional references regarding the disease and treatment are added

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	NO