

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_130400
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical excision of a Giant Mature Retroperitoneal Teratoma Encasing the Superior Mesenteric Artery: A Case Report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is generally important, well structured, well detailed apart from a few sentences which were not well written. In introduction; In a teenager aged 16 years old, with sheathing of the mesenteric artery case presentation: And abdominal arch The mass has pushed back the organs The operative consequences were unremarkable and outgoing day 5 post operative discission: Surgery is the standard treatment	Corrections done
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is long and not well worded Mature retroperitoneal teratoma in an adolescent girl, report of a case	Our article mainly wants to emphasize on difficulty in surgical management because of SMA encasement. So, mentioned that in title .. sir/mam
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The objective of the article and the key words are missing	It is a case report only sir and so objective was not mentioned. Key words added.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes, it is correct	Thanks sir/ mam
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	if it is possible to add a recent reference that would be ideal. giant retroperitoneal teratoma 2014 https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2014.18.147.4351	Added

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes fits	Thanks sir/ mam
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No