

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_130207
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe alveolar hemorrhage revealing systemic lupus erythematosus
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical and often overlooked complication of systemic lupus erythematosus. It underscores the need for early intervention, which can significantly improve patient outcomes in emergencies associated with this complex disease.	Indeed, it's a very interesting case
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it clearly conveys the main focus of the article	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While the authors mention that alveolar hemorrhage is "rarely the initial symptom," this could be expanded slightly to emphasize the clinical rarity of this presentation, which is a key aspect of the case.	I will do my best
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and presents a well-supported case of alveolar hemorrhage revealing SLE	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript cites important sources that contextualize the case within the broader understanding of pulmonary manifestations of SLE. Additional citations or data on long-term outcomes and recurrence rates in patients who present with alveolar hemorrhage as the first manifestation of SLE could add depth to the discussion, particularly in terms of prognosis.	I have added other references

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well-written, concise, and presents an interesting case with valuable clinical insights.	Thank you
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<div>1. The manuscript is well-written, concise, and presents an interesting case with valuable clinical insights. Adding a bit more depth in the treatment and follow-up sections, as well as expanding the discussion on the pathophysiology of alveolar hemorrhage in SLE, could enhance it further.</div> <div>2. The inclusion of imaging (chest CT with ground-glass appearance) is a strong point, and the confirmation of alveolar hemorrhage through bronchoalveolar lavage is particularly important for diagnostic clarity. It could be valuable to also mention how differential diagnoses (e.g., infections, other autoimmune diseases) were ruled out.</div> <div>3. While the manuscript is scientifically accurate, it could briefly mention newer treatments (e.g., biologic agents like rituximab or belimumab) in cases of severe SLE or refractory disease, as these have shown effectiveness in some patients. However, the chosen treatment (cyclophosphamide, corticosteroids, and azathioprine) is still appropriate and standard in such cases.</div> <div>4. Including photos and a description of the renal biopsy in the manuscript would indeed enhance its value, especially for readers seeking a more detailed understanding of the case. Renal biopsy is crucial in confirming lupus nephritis, and visual aids can provide additional clarity regarding the histological findings.</div> <div>5. The manuscript ends on a positive note, with the patient responding well to treatment. It might be helpful to provide more details about follow-up, including whether there were any long-term complications, or if the patient's lupus was well-controlled in subsequent months.</div>	I have made all the requested changes

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	