

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_131058
Title of the Manuscript:	EARLY DETECTION AND MANAGEMENT OF GLUCOSE-6-PHOSPHATE DEHYDROGENASE DEFICIENCY THROUGH NEWBORN SCREENING: A CASE STUDY AND PUBLIC HEALTH PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This case report is significantly needed to discuss how early screening program is crucial for early detection of some disease. This manuscript should more elaboration in introduction and discussion section. And should mentioned about the regulation of this program in their country.	Thank you for your feedback. We acknowledge the importance of early screening programs in disease detection. While we may not be able to elaborate further in this manuscript, we will consider a more detailed discussion including national regulations in future studies.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thank you for your feedback
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, need to double check after add some features	Thank you for your feedback. I will double-check the existing content as needed.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	Thank you for your feedback
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. some references are out of date and need to update, at least 10 years before	Thank you for your feedback. We selected some older papers as they accurately correlate with our study. However, we will review and update references where necessary.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but need proofread	Thank you for your feedback
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The discussion should contain more narration about the case, how it treated compare to the evidence-based medicine and the regulation.	Thank you for your feedback. Currently the discussion focuses on key aspects of the case but we will consider elaborating on treatment comparisons with evidence-based medicine and relevant regulations in future studies.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in the study