

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130989
Title of the Manuscript:	ACADEMIC BURNOUT, EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE BACHELOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study sheds light on the relationship of academic burnout to emotional intelligence and academic performance, in light of the BEEd Students profile (personal variables, such as: gender, age...) This is according to the peculiarities of the Philippine context. The results will contribute to spreading awareness of the importance of developing emotional intelligence and reducing psychological burnout among students	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should include the study instruments, results associated with the hypotheses (there is or no correlation between the variables). There is no need to describe the level of academic burnout, emotional intelligence, academic performance and the BEEd Students profile in the abstract.	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The study can be supported by more recent references	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is appropriate. But more scientific language should be used (using symbols to indicate correlations, instead of long phrases).	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Delete paragraph (1.1 Statement of the Problem : Keep the study goal only). This paragraph will be included in the research instruments.- You are studying correlational relationships, and you do not have an independent variable and a dependent variable (See Figure 1. Research Paradigm).- There is no need to put the image in (2.2 Locale of the Study). Briefly describe the participants.- The psychometric properties of the research instruments (reliability and internal consistency) were not mentioned- Why were the standard deviations of the means not calculated?- In the results section, we should limit ourselves to presenting the results and commenting on them briefly, without discussing them. Previous studies are then used for discussion.- Concise scientific language should be used (e.g. Ethnicity: $r = -0.304$, $p < .05$)- There is no need to include tables "Sig. (2 – tailed)/ Decision"- The summary or Conclusion (Keep either the summary or the conclusion, not both) should be brief and focus on the results related to the hypotheses (Correlations).- Be brief in recommendations (do not exceed a small paragraph)	<div>-Done</div> <div>- Deleted</div> <div>Done</div>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	