

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130989
Title of the Manuscript:	ACADEMIC BURNOUT, EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE BACHELOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION STUDENTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides valuable insights into the relationship between academic burnout, emotional intelligence, and academic performance among college students, highlighting the impact of ethnicity and gender on burnout levels. Its findings can inform targeted interventions to support student well-being and improve academic outcomes.	Done
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a general overview of the study’s purpose, methods, and key findings. However, it could benefit from being slightly more concising. The abstract should mention the specific focus of the study more clearly—such as the focus on Bachelor of Elementary Education students at Apayao State College, and the specific relationships being investigated (academic burnout, emotional intelligence, academic performance).	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the manuscript appears scientifically sound, with appropriate statistical analyses and literature references supporting the research	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but are outdated, add more references from 2020 to 2024. And write the references in proper style of IEEE with DOIs.	The journal accepts Vancouver referencing

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, its good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The manuscript is well-organized and the results are presented in a logical sequence. The discussion section connects the results with relevant literature, offering a coherent narrative of the study's findings.</p> <p>While the study integrates several studies into its literature review, it could benefit from a stronger, more comprehensive theoretical framework. For example, integrating theories of academic burnout, emotional intelligence, or the transactional model of stress might provide a richer, more nuanced understanding of how these constructs interact in the student population.the sample size and focus on students from Apayao State College limits the generalizability of the results. While the regional focus is valuable, the study may benefit from a broader, more diverse sample, such as students from multiple universities or regions, to allow for cross-cultural comparisons.There's no mention of the sample size or demographic breakdown (apart from the profile), which is crucial for understanding the diversity and potential biases in the sample. It would be useful to report the number of respondents, how they were selected, and whether there were any limitations related to sample representativeness.</p>	<p>Total enumeration was used in the study. It means there are only 54 students who are enrolled in the program. However, 5 of the students were not enrolled during the first semester in the said school year. As to its results, only 49 students were included in the pool of respondents.</p> <p>This was discussed in the results and discussion of the study.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	