

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130815
Title of the Manuscript:	Level of Awareness and Extent of Implementation of the Child Protection Policy in Flora District
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’ s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘ **lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’ s comment	Author’ s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides valuable empirical insights into the awareness and implementation of child protection policies in education. It emphasizes the necessity for improved training and systemic interventions to effectively safeguard children's rights. The findings highlight critical areas that require attention for better policy enforcement.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community by providing empirical data on the level of awareness and extent of implementation of the child protection in Flora district. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the effectiveness of child protection policies in specific contexts.
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title, “Level of Awareness and Extent of Implementation of the Child Protection Policy in Flora District,” is descriptive	The title, “Level of Awareness and Extent of Implementation of the Child Protection Policy in Flora District,” is descriptive,but it could be made more engaging and formative.
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract should clarify the purpose of the paper by emphasizing policy goals and child protection.	Clearly states the aim to assess awareness and implementation of the child protection policy. Provide insights into the effectiveness of current child protection practices in the district.
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript lacks clarity in purpose, focusing too much on demographics rather than policy impact.	Clear and concise it directly states the focus of the research.It mentions the specific location (Flora District), making the research geographically relevant.
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references provided include a mix of older and more recent sources, with several dating back to 2008 or earlier. Incorporate more recent studies, publications, and guidelines on child protection policies, ideally from the last five years.	I included and incorporate more recent studies in my revised manuscript.
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The article's relevance to child protection policies is clear, but improving its language, grammar, and clarity will enhance its scholarly quality and effectiveness.	The title itself seems appropriate for scholarly work. It is clear and concise,and directly states the topic of the research.
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<b>The manuscript offers valuable insights into child protection policies in education, highlighting policy gaps and the need for better training. The abstract requires revision for clarity, focusing on policy goals rather than demographics. It contains outdated references and needs language and clarity improvements before it can be approved for publication.</b>	My research topic has the potential to make a significant contribution to the field of child protection.Based on the given revision I included the following positive aspects of the revision focus on policy,policy analysis,implementation assessment actionable recommendations,updated references considering all these points I can say that my research is valuable contribution to the field of child protection.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’ s comment	Author’ s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	