

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130552
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived impact of academic stress on mental health among engineering students in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a significant gap in the research on academic stress and mental health among engineering students in Nigerian tertiary institutions. The findings highlight the unique contextual factors contributing to academic stress and its impact on well-being, which can guide educators, academic administrators, and mental health professionals in developing targeted interventions. This study contributes valuable insights to the scientific community and underscores the need for support systems to enhance student well-being and learning outcomes.	Thank you for your comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the manuscript. However, a slightly more specific title could be: "Perceived Impact of Academic Stress on Mental Health Among Engineering Students in Nigerian Universities"	The title has been revised as suggested. Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and effectively summarizes the study's aim, design, methodology, results, and conclusion. However, adding a brief mention of the survey's validation process and the statistical analysis method could enhance its completeness. For example: "Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20, and descriptive statistics and T-tests were performed."	The methods section has been revised to include these suggested changes.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate. The study design, methodology, and analysis are well-detailed and appropriate for the research questions. The findings are presented clearly, and the conclusions are supported by the data.	Thank you for your comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references seem sufficient and recent however, including more recent studies on academic stress and mental health in similar contexts could strengthen the literature review.	A paragraph was added with more recent studies before the materials and method section and the recommended reference was added to the last paragraph before the limitations.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. Minor edits for conciseness and readability could further enhance the quality.	Editorial changes were made throughout the paper to improve clarity and readability.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	