

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_127304
Title of the Manuscript:	Barriers to Proper PPE Management in a Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	PPE is essential for protecting doctors from disease transmission and reducing the spread of infections to other patients. It also protects against health and safety dangers at work. One essential piece of personal protective equipment (PPE) for workers is a respirator. They are crucial in situations where alternative control systems are not feasible to protect workers' health and safety. The best respirator depends on the type of particle or chemical it is protecting against.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Exploring the Barriers to Proper Management of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) During a Pandemic in Hospitals	Title has been revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The method of data collection to be mentioned and the also clarity on who is that 59% of respondents that mainly doctors and nurses or even the other category respondents were involved. There is a generalization on quantitative and qualitative research approaches that require clarity on the specific qualitative and quantitative approaches used	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is a need for a separate sub-section for the Review of the Literature and the latest literature has to be included in the review.	Ok added
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the data is collected from specific respondents who are exactly related to the hospital industry and the collected information, the data presented about the collected information is acceptable.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Only 12 reviews of the literature were made another 5 to 7 reviews might have been done	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Little standardized words might have been used in the article writing	Done revision
Optional/General comments		
	Research design need to be standardized	Ok

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	