

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125044
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF OILFIELD CHEMICAL OPERATIONS USING DETERMINISTIC AND STOCHASTIC MODELS
Type of the Article	Methodology

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-The modeling approach must include analytical functions and Intensity distributions in graphs, ... etc. - Feedback and characteristics influence the precision of the distribution should be included. -Oil field chemicals operations must be modeled by field experimentals to be able to develop a clear and more realistic vision.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	Ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-Sudden changes that affect the modelling of oil field chemicals operations both in CPM & PERT must be taken into account. -It should review the theoretical background formulation of CPM and PERT for chemical operations, and highlight the connection between deterministic reaction rates and stochastic reaction propensities. More detailed descriptions can be found. -For chemical operations modelling, changes in temperature and pressure must be kept up with.	Thanks for your valuable comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The lanquage is suitable.	Thanks
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	