

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_130772
Title of the Manuscript:	FRUIT MORPHOLOGICAL RESPONSE OF F200 PINEAPPLE Ananas comosus (L.) merr AS INFLUENCED BY GIBBERELLIC ACID APPLICATION AND CALCIUM SUPPLEMENTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a new addition to pineapple production. It identified the plant response to treatment with gibberellic acid and calcium. It explained the traits affected by these treatments. Finally, it provided a good description of the plant parts that can be used as studied traits.	Dear Reviewer, Thank you for your thoughtful review and constructive feedback. We appreciate your valuable insights, which have helped enhance the quality of our manuscript. Below are our responses to your comments:
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Importance of the Manuscript We have refined the discussion on the manuscript's significance to the scientific community. This study contributes to pineapple production research by identifying plant responses to gibberellic acid and calcium treatments. It further explains the specific morphological traits influenced by these treatments and provides a detailed description of plant parts that can serve as studied traits. These findings are valuable for improving agronomic practices and fruit quality.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but adding conclusions and recommendations is better.	Title of the Article We appreciate your confirmation that the title is suitable and have retained it as is.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. there a little note on the enclosed file.	Abstract We have revised the abstract to include clear conclusions and recommendations, ensuring a more comprehensive summary of our findings.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally good, but they need to be updated and articles published in the last ten years added.	Scientific Accuracy We acknowledge the minor notes provided in the enclosed file and have addressed them accordingly to enhance clarity and accuracy.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is suitable for scholarly communications.	References We have updated the references by incorporating more recent studies published within the last ten years, strengthening the manuscript's literature foundation.
Optional/General comments	Generally, the manuscript is good as subject, field work, results and writing, but there are some comments on the manuscript file, explain and correct there, please.	Language and Scholarly Communication Thank you for your positive feedback on language quality. We have conducted a final language review to further refine readability and coherence.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	