

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_130405
Title of the Manuscript:	Interplay of Macroeconomics and CO2 Emissions Dynamics: Evidence from Top CO2 emitting Economies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article addresses an important issue but is full of important deficiencies. The biggest deficiency is the lack of a literature review section. Also, the abstract is very long. Some journals only allow this much for an introduction.	The literature has been adequately addressed within the introduction section, as per the journal's guidelines, which recommend integrating the review of relevant literature into the introduction rather than presenting it as a separate section. The abstract has been changed and structured in accordance with the journal's word limit and formatting requirements.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	So long... Shorter please	The abstract has been revised and organized to align with the journal's word limit.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I didn't see the author's model... anywhere?	The model used in the study has been clearly explained in the materials and methods section.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are 2 paragraphs in the introduction written as a small literature section, but considering it as a literature review section would be an insult to academic studies. A literature review section is also necessary. It is important to use the following articles: - <a href="https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.13707">https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.13707</a> - <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2448769">https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2448769</a> - <a href="https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.15903">https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.15903</a> - <a href="https://so05.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/saje/article/view/265576">https://so05.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/saje/article/view/265576</a> - <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2448769">https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2448769</a> - <a href="https://doi.org/10.33818/ier.1264805">https://doi.org/10.33818/ier.1264805</a>	The referenced articles have been incorporated into the manuscript.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fine	Ok
Optional/General comments	However, it may be published if the caveats are heeded.	Thanks for the comments

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	