

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_130322
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Seed Health Status of Some Selected Podded and Root Vegetables in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Introduction section</p> <p>What is the importance of these three plants: economic, nutritional, production (yield)?</p> <p>Result</p> <p>Specify the reading direction of the data in the table.</p> <p>Figure 1: It would be very interesting to insert the significance level for comparisons of means for percentages of infection.</p> <p>Discussion section</p> <p>1. Discuss the variability of fungi for the three species : <i>Raphanus sativus</i> (L.), <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. (Walp.) and <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.</p> <p>2. The discussion should take into account the variability of the data in Figure 1 :</p> <p>3. There are no comments for <i>Raphanus sativus</i> (L.) and <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. (Walp.).</p> <p>Question: The results showed that six (06) fungal species were detected. Do you think that this detection method makes it possible to confirm that these species are responsible for the reduction in yield per hectare?</p> <p>Reference section,</p> <p>Harmonise references</p>	Thanks For the valuable comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	