

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_131258
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender Dimensions of Roles and Implications of Plantation Agriculture: A Critical Look at Cameroon Development Cooperation (CDC) Debundscha Palm Estate Pre and Post-Anglophone Crisis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is of great interest as it examines the gender dimensions of roles and activities performed by male and female workers at the Cameroon Development Cooperation. The topic is relevant and contemporary considering the disparity in gendered division of labour resulting in job segregation that impacts male and female gender differently. The study will be significant to the scientific community as it will bring to the fore the lived realities of male and female workers in the Debundscha palm plantation estate, an area that might have not been sufficiently acknowledged in literature. The study is also important because arising from the findings, it suggested strategies for addressing gender inequalities in plantation agriculture.	Thank you so much for your scholarly comment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate.	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is Okay in its present form	Note taken
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Given the methodology used by the author, the manuscript can be said to be scientifically correct.	I appreciate your remarks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient and current. The most recent literature used in the study is 2020. The author should engage more recent literature.	Thank you so much for your observation, more current references have been added to the manuscript and highlighted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, proper editing should be done.	Thank you, that has been effected
Optional/General comments	<p>The section on the introduction was logically written. However, the researcher should engage more current literature in order to bring the work up to date. The research methodology fits into the research objectives and questions. However, section 2.1 under the research methodology should be renamed “Research area or Area of the study”. Under the 2.2 research design, a more detailed information is needed to explain and justify how the sample size of 50 was arrived at. Further justification is needed for the choice of 24 male and 26 female respondents.</p> <p>There is also the need to situate the scope of the study within a given time frame. This will make for a clear understanding of the period under study .i.e. what time frame constitute Pre and Post Anglophone crisis. From the study it was clear from the introduction that the Anglophone crisis began in 2016 however it was not clear the period referred to as the Pre-Anglophone crisis era. The study needs to be clear on this because one can have different assumption as to what constitute the period covered by this study.</p> <p>Flowing from the above in the analysis section it was difficult to differentiate between the gendered situation in the Pre and Post Anglophone Crisis era.</p>	I appreciate your in-depth review of this work. All corrections have been done and highlighted as requested

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<p>Ethical Issues</p> <p>A formal and legal permission was obtained from the Department of Women and Gender Studies in the University of Buea. The consent of the respondents was sought as respondents were asked to sign a consent form while the researcher explained the objectives, purpose and significance of the research to them. All respondents were given reassurance that information collected will be used only for the research. Interviews will be conducted by the researcher at the convenience of the respondents with the aid of Pidgin English to ease communication. Also, the identity of respondents will be withheld to avoid easy identification of the ideas given by participants with the different respondents.</p>