

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_131138
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro evaluation of bio-control agents against leaf spot disease caused by Cercospora sp.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		It serves as the primary means to share original research findings, allowing others researchers to access, review, and build upon new knowledge within a field, contributing to the advancement of science through the process of peer review and publication.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		Yes
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		Yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		Yes
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		Yes
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	See the attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		No