

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_131052
Title of the Manuscript:	Empathy and satisfaction dynamics in Veterinary Medical Interviews: insights from companion animal practice in Kerala
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Veterinary medical interviews are crucial to companion animal care, veterinarians and client satisfaction.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ok	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ok	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	More number of animals like Elephants, cows, buffalos, goats sheep, poultry reared by animal owner should be explore for finding out animal owner satisfaction. There are only data of 60 animal owner has been considered.	In the present study the objectives were to study the perceptions and the satisfaction of pet owners about the veterinary medical interview. Elephants, cows, buffaloes goats sheep poultry are not considered as pets in the area where this study was conducted.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. Shaw <i>et al.</i> (2004) has been shown in main text but it has been absent in References section. 2. Lafreniere <i>et al.</i> (2016) has been shown in main text but in References section year 2015 has been mentioned.	Will be added Will be corrected.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammar corrections should be carried out with help of Grammarly.	The authors are fully proficient in the use of English Language. The first author has had all his education in English and the second author is an O level C pass in English from the University of London, UK (Evidence can be provided). It is hence not felt that the use of software is essential to ensuring that the grammar in a paper is correct. It is a matter of learning.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Keyword section has been not mentioned and Keyword has not written. 2. Conclusion as heading has not been written. 3. Which statistical software used for analysis has not been mentioned.	Will be done. Will be written Will be mentioned. SPSS Version 24.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	