

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130970
Title of the Manuscript:	"EVALUATING ARECANUT PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF TUMCOS (TOTA UTPANNAGALA MARATA SAHAKARA SANGHA NIYAMIT) MEMBERS AND NON-MEMBERS IN DAVANAGERE, KARNATAKA, INDIA"
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is good and is important for the improvement of arecanut production. Thereby, improving overall agricultural production and efficiency. The comparative study also helps in understanding which method and approach is better for future purpose.	Thank you for your valuable comment.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract is not comprehensive. Proper abstract should have introduction, methodology, results and discussion and conclusion separately. Adding them will make the abstract comprehensive. It will improve the flow and understanding of the research.	The abstract has been reviewed and revised. Separate sections for the introduction, methodology, results and discussion, and conclusions have been incorporated.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add recent references.	Old references have been removed, and a few recent references have been added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues were identified in the manuscript