

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130970
Title of the Manuscript:	"EVALUATING ARECANUT PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF TUMCOS (TOTA UTPANNAGALA MARATA SAHAKARA SANGHA NIYAMIT) MEMBERS AND NON-MEMBERS IN DAVANAGERE, KARNATAKA, INDIA"
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This can be a good insight for policy-makers and development institutions in implementing programs and interventions for arecanut growers.	Thank you for your valuable comment.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. However, a statistical analysis is needed to compare members and non-members, which can be enhanced in the presentation of results. Please see the comments in the manuscript.	A suitable statistical test has been incorporated in Table 6 to demonstrate the statistical difference between members and non-members.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript would provide a more profound addition to the depth of knowledge if statistical analysis on comparing members and non-members is done. Moreover, as an ex-post facto research, the current result did not clearly answer why said conditions happened in the past and what needs to be done. Please see comments in the manuscript.	Necessary modifications and clarifications have been incorporated into the manuscript in response to the reviewers' comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues were identified in the manuscript