

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130865
Title of the Manuscript:	Personal, Socio-economic and Psychological profile of Integrated Farming System Module practicing farmers in North-Eastern Dry (Zone-II) of Karnataka.
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Integrated Farming System (IFS) practitioners of 240 farmers in the North-Eastern Dry Zone from three districts was stratified based on their education, land holding, other socio-economic factors etc. This can provides useful insights for policymakers. Observation are in similar lines known from most parts of the country.	We have Added a section to highlight the importance of this study for the scientific community. The insights gathered from Integrated Farming System (IFS) practitioners in the North-Eastern Dry Zone will be valuable for policymakers in understanding the socio-economic factors affecting farming practices in this region. While similar observations may be found in other areas of the country, our study provides specific context for this region, which we believe will add to the existing body of knowledge.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	We appreciate your confirmation that the title is suitable, and no changes were made here
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Adequate	We agree that the abstract is adequate and have left it unchanged, as per your suggestion.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	We are pleased to hear that you found the manuscript scientifically correct. We have ensured the accuracy of all data and methods and we appreciate your positive feedback in this regard.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	We have verified the references, and they are both sufficient and recent. Thank you for confirming this.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	We have made the minor language corrections you suggested and ensured that the text flows more smoothly.
Optional/General comments	Minor language correction has been made and marked. This article could have be shorter as I see repeton of content in table and text which occupies space and time in reading.	We understand your concern about repetitive content in the tables and text. We have streamlined these sections to make the manuscript more concise, without losing essential information.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	