

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130740
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of AVT entries of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) against Foot and Stem Rot caused by Phytophthora parasitica var. sabdariffae
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Host resistance related study against Phytophthora parasitica var. sabdariffae relevant for scientific community. But the authors failed to clearly mention their objective/s. Material and method did not follow the scientific procedure. Is Phytophthora parasitica var. sabdariffae has been problematic only in India or elsewhere? For the disease scale or incidence percentage and reaction of varieties, what is such citation? For instance, the authors cited 'Technical guidelines of lead centre Central Research Institute for Jute & Allied Fibres (CRIJAF)', I am not sure whether this correct or not in science. The study conducted in sick plot, how was this developed?	SICK PLOT WAS DEVELOPED BY INOCULATING THE FIELD SOIL WITH PHYTOPHTHORA CULTURE GROWN ON SORGHUM GRAINS. IT WAS MAINTAINED BY PATHOLOGISTS.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Omit the Abbreviation from title.	Corrections were made as per the suggestions.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Rewrite and concise the abstract with correct grammar.	Corrections were made as per the suggestions.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Particularly in the introduction and Methodology part the authors must convince the reader the importance of the study and scientific procedure.	Corrections were made as per the suggestions.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No.	Corrections were made as per the suggestions.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The importance of evaluating and screening of Roselle varieties in the sick plot of Phytophthora parasitica for the future breeding program has no doubt. But the authors could not meet at least the readers requirement in the manuscript.	-

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO