

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130085
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and insecticidal activity of 1,2,4-oxadiazoles derivates against <i>Plutella xylostella</i> L. (Diamondback Moth)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The structure–activity relationship of 1,2,4-oxadiazoles derivates indicated that, of the phenyl substituents tested, chloro, nitro, methyl, and bromo substituents were effective in increasing adulticidal activity, and further structural variation may lead to the development of new effective insecticides.	Thank you for your valuable comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Okay.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	Modified by authors
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	With minor correction	1. Suggestion accepted by the authors.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision	2. Suggestion accepted by the authors.
Optional/General comments	<div>1. Correct grammatical error and typo error.</div> <div>2. Improve the quality of figures.</div> <div>3. Source of materials must be reported.</div> <div>4. Follow the reference pattern as per journal.</div> <div>5. Include NMR and Mass Spectra of synthesized Compound.</div> <div>6. Conclusion is weak, please progress it.</div> <div>7. The results are well presented, but no discussion and comparison with similar results has been done.</div> <div>8. Instead of Biological activity of 1,2,4-oxadiazóis and precursors Author should write insecticidal activity of the1,2,4-oxadiazóis.</div> <div>9. Figures of insecticidal activity must be included</div>	<div>3. Corrected (correction in blue color)</div> <div>4. Corrected</div> <div>5. Corrected.</div> <div>6. The references were written according to the magazine's Template, let's see examples: Hilly, M., Adams, M. L., & Nelson, S. C. (2002). A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 32(4), 489-498.Note: List the first six authors followed by et al.</div> <div>7. NMR was included in the text as a figure and elementary analysis in the experimental procedure. We did not do a mass spectrum, as there was no need.</div> <div>8. Suggestion accepted by the authors.</div> <div>9. Suggestion accepted by the authors.</div> <div>10. Suggestion accepted by the authors.</div> <div>11. Corrected.</div>

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	