

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123414
Title of the Manuscript:	CHILD PROTECTION AWARENESS AMONG CHILDREN IN SELECTED SCHOOLS IN MUNALI CONSTITUENCY OF LUSAKA, ZAMBIA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript topic is satisfactory.  Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions.	REVISED ACCORDINGLY
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please reword the title. Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia.	Topic revised accordingly
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this.  Avoid words like ‘however’ and abbreviations.  Mention the limitations.	ABSTRACT REDONE. FOCUS MADE CLEAR: objectives: to examine the knowledge children in Munali Constituency have on child protection and to explore the sources of this knowledge. Limitations were added
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are satisfactory.  Structure needs some revisions.  Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions.	Aim and objectives provided
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	More details have been provided
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate references and the Researcher has considered recent articles.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Researcher has to pay attention to the academic research writing.  Avoid vague words and use research key terms where it is necessary.	Revised accordingly and edits done
Optional/General comments	Explain more about the interview schedule. Is it a structured, semi-structured or unstructured with open-ended questions? What about the Table 5 in the manuscript? Did the author do a pilot study? Because, the sample consists of school children and the research discusses highly sensitive topic, and, thus, researcher should avoid having vague questions and sensitive questions.  Focus Group Discussion: Researcher has to mention whether he/she considered same questions or different questions.  Questionnaires: Need to mention the names of pre-developed questionnaires used in the study.  Mention the limitations of the study.	This has been updated and more details have been added on data collection tools. No pre-developed tools were used as some questions were open-ended.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	