

1 **Assessment of Soil Erosion Risk from Runoffs**  
2 **under Arid and Semi-arid Climate Zones in**  
3 **Africa**

4  
5  
6  
8

)

---

## ABSTRACT

Soils are a vital natural resource that deserves more attention and affection, because they are full of everything that living beings need to survive. Now, soil hydric erosion is a phenomenon that causes serious problems of flooding and limiting food production in semi-arid climate zones, leading to a chronic socio-economic and environmental disasters. This process is further exacerbated by the ever-increasing global warming. This study aims to better understand the processes and consequences of water erosion, in order to better prevent the risks that could be associated with rising of global temperature. The study area is located in the semi-arid climate of Isser watershed in the northern-west of Algeria. Soils of the area have a silty-clay texture. The surfaces are covered with little gravel (8%), covered to 72% of vegetable debris and are cracked up to 53%. These characteristics may reduce the runoff velocities and protect soils to erosion. However, clearing, overgrazing and farming systems favor runoffs that cause transfers of sediments through the destruction of the surface physical-chemical properties and thereby its natural structural stability. The study shows a slowdown in the infiltration rate of runoff water when soils are severely dehydrated. This may be an explanation for the paradoxes of the recent floods in arid and semi-arid areas of the world. Soils aridification due to global warming could increase its cracking and damage their structural stability. This climatic phenomenon will result in the recurrence of floods and the massive infiltration of surface water into groundwater, thus generating their pollution. The study also shows that the sum of all chemical properties (moisture, OM, soil carbon, calcite, and total nitrogen) in percentage is highly correlated with soil structural stability. Therefore, the key factor in mitigating this process would be to maintain a sufficient level of organic matter in soils.

*Keywords:* Key words: Soil, Hydric Erosion, Runoff, Catchment area, Organic matter, Climate change, Climate warming.

## 1. Introduction

Healthy soil is essential for the survival of living beings. It is a critical component of ecosystems and the terrestrial system that supports primary ecosystem service delivery (Amundson et al., 2015; Keesstra et al., 2016; Robinson et al., 2017). The African continent faces multiple environmental challenges and must reconcile many conflicting priorities, including promoting economic diversification, ensuring water supply and food security, but also to promote the protection and conservation of the environment in order to adapt to the impacts of global warming (Radhouane, 2013). Northern Africa and the Sahel zone are highly vulnerable to recent climate change (IPCC, 2001; Hugand Reid, 2004). Variability of rainfall, land degradation and desertification are some of the factors that combine to make life extremely difficult in these parts of the World. Here, wind and water erosion of soils are remaining the predominant natural processes that threaten all ecosystems. With exponential population growth (UN, 2024), followed by poor waste management produced in huge quantities in urban and rural areas, soil water erosion increases the risk of groundwater pollution with serious consequences for human and plant health (Chia et al., 2022; Mounkaila et al., 2025). An assessment of water erosion processes is more than necessary for low-resource countries located in the arid and semi-arid regions of Africa in order to prevent the risk of contamination of aquifer water useful for consumption and irrigations. Infiltration is the flow of water through the soil surface into a porous medium under gravity action and pressure effects. Therefore, soil infiltration is a critical physical parameter of soils conditions. Various studies have shown that the soil's seepage capacity is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the soil (Bouwer, 1986; Hillel, 1998; Gonzalez-Sosa et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2015; Sheng and Dong, 2016). The properties are among others texture, structure, porosity, organic matter content, clay type, retention capacity and hydraulic conductivity; precipitation, climate, meteorology and land uses (e.g. Gonzalez-Sosa et al., 2010; Tamir et al., 2014; Isreal et al., 2018). Water infiltration is governed by complex interfering actions between bulk density, porosity, particle size distribution, organic matter (OM), water-stable aggregates and soil moisture content (Fischer et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2020). These soil hydraulic properties control water retention, water flow and fate of nutrients, chemicals and pollutants in the soil, thereby determine the accessibility of plants to water absorption, crop growth and environmental health. The Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) enables planners to predict the average rate of soil erosion for each feasible alternative combination of crop system and management practices in association with a specified soil

type, rainfall pattern, and topography. When these predicted losses are compared with given soil loss tolerances, they provide specific guidelines for effecting erosion control within specified limits (Wischmeier and Smith, 1958; Wischmeier and Smith, 1978). Originally, the universal soil loss equation (USLE) was developed by Wischmeier and Smith (1965). This is a commonly used model, then modified to become the revised universal land loss equation (RUSLE) by Williams (1975) and reformulated by Renard et al. (1997). Recent studies combine both geospatial technologies and modeling approaches extensively to estimate soil erosion rates and map areas at risk of erosion worldwide (Desmet and Govers, 1996; Ganasri and Ramesh, 2016; Mohammed et al., 2022; Mengie et al., 2022; Yusron and Eko, 2023). The studies led significant and sustainable results. Among the various models for estimating erosion, the RUSLE equation is distinguished by its consistency and minimum data requirements (Fredj et al., 2024; Borrelli et al., 2017). According to Borrelli et al., (2017), based on the RUSLE model, reported that the GPS are overestimated. For the African continent, soil losses vary considerably from 0 to 750 t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, and are mainly influenced by slope, land cover and rainfall intensity (Deore and Shruti, 2023; Benselama et al., 2018). Water erosion is a common phenomenon that affects all continents and in all climatic conditions. Africa has an increase in soil erosion rate of about 10% in 2012, it is also one of the highest (3.88 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) in the world (Borrelli et al., 2017). The largest projected increases are in sub-Saharan Africa. The least developed countries are most likely to experience this phenomenon (Borrelli et al., 2017). As elsewhere in the world, soil erosion caused by rainfall and runoff poses a major challenge to sub-Saharan and Mediterranean countries in Africa, leading to declining agricultural fertility. Soil erosion is one of the major causes of soil degradation in the Isser region in northern Algeria, and significant damage is caused each year by floods and flooding aggravated by anthropologic factors. Another major concern that requires a lot of remediation among the scientific community is the impact of climate change on water erosion and its adverse consequences on drinking groundwater quality in arid and semi-arid environments.

The aim of this work is to develop of consequents and comprehensives relationships between surface physical states, infiltration, soil physico-chemical properties on the hydric erosion processes in arid and semi-arid regions. Soil data from the Isser watershed in the northwestern Algeria will be used to assess erosion processes and runoff risks. Our working hypothesis is that it would be necessary to develop a hierarchical model of the factors indicating the risks of degradation of the natural environment. This model will be validated by comparison with the work already carried out in order to draw the best conclusions. We will base our analysis on the role that soil physico-chemical parameters may play in the decohesion of soil particles and their transport by infiltration and runoff.

## 2. Matériels et Méthodes

Since the late 1980s, there has been a need to determine the causes and factors of various erosion processes and to apply a new strategy: conserving water and soil fertility management in the present study area (Ross, 1993; Mazour, 1992). for the rational and continuous exploitation of natural resources. To this end, in 1989, Ross (1993) installed 17 experimental erosion plots in the main ecological stations of the Isser watershed with the collaboration of the INRF station of Tlemcen and ex ORSTOM (current IRD) To quantify runoff and erosion in the various Agro-Sylvo-pastoral production systems. The plots installed are inspired by Wischmeier (1959).

### 2.1. Study area

The study area covers the watershed of the Oued Isser which is located in the northwest corner of Algeria in North Africa (Fig. 1a). This area extends in between latitude 34°41'22' and 35°09'37' N and longitude 1°20'31' and 0°52'28' W. It covers an area of 1122 km<sup>2</sup> for a perimeter of 207.7 km. The climate is of semi-arid Mediterranean type to temperate winter. It can be distinguished two seasons: one cold and rainy (from November to mid-March) and the other hot and dry (extending from mid-March to November). Rainfalls are usually of high intensity and irregular in time and space. The watershed system is dense and consists of a main Oued (Oued Isser) and many tributary systems. The topography highly rugged terrain.

The lithology (Fig. 1b) is defined by 597 of soft rocks (marls and soft sandstone) with intersections of hard rocks (calcareous), which predisposes these areas to different erosion processes (Boughalem et al., 2012).

The regions located in the southern and central part of the basin are characterized by the predominance of shale with easily eroded limestone from the Upper Cretaceous and extend over

about 1/3 of the area. Rock formations represent 12%, and extend over all parts of the basin. About 8% of the northern surface is characterized by low Miocene-age argillite and clay rocks, while the middle part comprises schist with sandstone and limestone, and the southern part has red sandstone rocks with conglomerate, resistant to erosion.

Sandstone rocks with green schists of different ages (Lower Miocene, Upper Eocene and Marine Oligocene) constitute 30% of the Isser region, distributed in and dominating the northern and central regions. Remaining formations, including limestone, eruptive rocks, metamorphic rocks and calcareous sands, constitute a minority of the total catchment area (Fredj et al., 2024).




Figure 1. Present: (a) Location map of the study area in Algeria. (b) Lithological map of the study area. Source (Boughalem et al., 2020).

## 2.2. Methods

Soil surface components (texture, organic matters...etc.), may intercept the rainfall reducing its energy the which favor the increase the water infiltration rate. In 1989, 17 experimental plots were installed to study water erosion in the main ecological station of the Tlemcen watershed in collaboration with INRF of Tlemcen and the former OROSTOM (IRD).

For the quantification of runoff and surface erosion in different agro-sylvo-pastoral production systems according to Boughalem et al. (2012).

To determine soil erosion risks in the natural conditions following tests and analysis were performed on the site and in the laboratory. The tests consist to estimate surface state, and water infiltration. The physico-chemical parameters to be determined are the granulometry, the structural stability, soil bulk density, and soil humidity, soil permeability, the electric conductivity (EC), the color, soil organic matter, total azote and carbonate contents.

### 2.2.1. Test of surface conditions

This test defines whether a soil surface is well or poorly protected according to the quantitative contents of cracks, pebbles and plant debris. The method used consist to draw on the ground a square of one m<sup>2</sup>, randomly taken and representative of the plot (Roose, 1996). Thus, for each square by choosing adequate spacing according to the objectives (5cm, 10cm or 20cm) we attribute the value **(1)** if **yes**, the element is present **or no (0)** if not contained from each intersection.

**Table 1.** Test bord (Roose, 1996): A grid indicating the percentage contents yes (1) or no (0) of (a) cracks; (b) pebbles and (c) plant debris in 1 m<sup>2</sup>.

<b>(a) % of Cracks</b>						<b>(b) % of Peebles</b>						<b>c. % of plant debris</b>					
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1

### 2.2.2. Test of infiltration

The test allows to estimate the water infiltration rate in the soil. Due to the lack of equipment's, a very simple method was used in order to appreciate this infiltration. Here, we used a cylinder, which was driven into the ground about 5cm and then filled with water. This test was performed at decreasing load on wet and dry soil in the same location.

### 2.2.3. Determination of physicochemical properties

#### (a) (a) Particle size analysis

The liquid limit test is one of the most widely used tests in soil mechanics, with the value obtained being correlated against a variety of soil properties such as soil strength (Casagrande,1932). According to FAO (1979) the susceptibility to physical degradation (SDP) is calculated as follows:

$$SPD = (1,5 Lf + 0,75 Lg)/(A + 10 MO). (1)$$

Where *Lf*: % fine silt; *Lg*: % coarse silt; *A*: % clay; *MO*: % organic matter

#### (b) Structural stability

Structure is defined as the architecture of the various components of soil: elementary or complex particles resulting from the agglomeration of elementary particles into aggregates of variable size and shape. The three main processes leading to aggregate destruction are (i) total breakup, (ii) microcracking, and (iii) mechanical breakdown without burst in the saturated state. The subsidence of bonding forces and the increase in breaking forces due to air trapping within the aggregate during rewetting and the mechanical effect of rainwater droplets on soil particles (Nelson,1997; Morsli,1996). On this basis, a classification of structural stability, soil sealing and erosion based on the average diameter by weight after disaggregation according to (Le Bissonnais, 2016) is established.

**Table 2:** Stability class, soil sealing and erosion as a function of the average diameter by weight MWD after dissolving (Kemper and Rosenau 1986). The results of the structural stability test are shown in the following table.

<b>MWD</b>	<b>structural stability</b>	<b>soil sealing</b>	<b>Runoff and diffuse erosion</b>
<0,4mm	highly unstable	systematic	Significant and permanent risk in all topographical conditions
0,4-0,8mm	unstable	very frequent	Common risk in any simulation
0,8-1,3mm	moderately stable	frequent	Variable risk depending on climatic and topographical parameters
1,3-2mm	stable	occasional	limited risk
>2mm	highly unstable	extremely rare	extremely low risk

#### (c) Bulk density and Soil moisture.

- Soil moisture

Soil moisture is determined by the following formula.

$$\text{Humidity} = \frac{\text{Weight-loss}}{\text{Weight of the oven-dried soil}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

- *Bulk density*

The bulk density is the density of the soil, dry for the whole, solid fraction and pores. The 250-cc metal cylinder method is used, which consists of carefully pushing into the ground. The cylinder is then removed with its contents, avoiding any compaction. Generally, for soils, the apparent density varies from 1 to 2. The value of the apparent density will be used to estimate the total porosity.

(d) *La perméabilité à l'eau*

The permeability of a soil is defined by the rate of infiltration of water by gravity. Two samples were analyzed in the laboratory to determine the permeability by using constant load permeability. The percolation at one hour is determined by the coefficient k

$$k = \frac{l \times v}{H \times S} \quad (3)$$

*l* = Height of the earth column

*v* = Volume in ml of water collected in hour

*H* = Height of load in cm

*S* = Innet – tube section in cm<sup>2</sup>

(e) *pH and Electric Conductivity (EC)*

The soil pH is recorded from the using of a field pH-meter with a relatively satisfactory accuracy. Electrical conductivity is measured using an electric conductometer.

(f) *Soil organic matter contents*

0.5 to 1g of each sample will be placed in different beakers. A mixture of 15 ml of Sulphuric acid and 10 ml of potassium dichromate is added to 1000 ml with distilled water. 50ml of the last solution will be introduced into each beaker containing soil samples. Then, we drain with Mohr salt at 0.2 N which will allow us to do the titration. The percentage of carbon is calculated by:

$$\%C = \frac{\text{control} - \text{the reading of titration}}{50} \quad (4)$$

The amount of soil organic matter is estimated by measuring organic carbon.

organic matter = C \* 2 in Forest soils.

Organic matter = C \* 1.72 in cultivated soils.

We used the latter (1.72) because our plots are located on agricultural land.

(g) *Azote total.*

The Kjeldahl method was used for the determination of total nitrogen. It has three phases: mineralization, distillation and titration.

(h) *Determination of total limestone*

This test concerned only the samples of Madjouj, it is made with the help of the Bernard calcimeter

$$\%CaCO_3 = \frac{p \times V}{p \times v} \quad (5)$$

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


#### 2.3. RESULTS

##### 2.3.1. State of surfaces

The results of surface physical state are represented in [table 3](#) and [figure 2](#) below. The test indicates that cracks represent 53%, gravel (or pebbles) content is about 8% of the surface. Plant debris cover up to 72% of the surface. Components onto surface layer may reduce the flow energy of rainwater and thus promote its infiltration inside of the subsoils. Water retention also promotes the vertical migration of finer particles (clay and silt) as well as elemental constituents depending on soil texture and porosity.

**Tableau 3:** Surface States in the Sidi Ahmed Cherif plot

Surface components	Percentages
cracks	53.33%
pebbles	8.33%
plant debris	72.22%
average	46.82%
total	138.82%




**Figure. 2.** Surface components showing that about 53 % of the surface is cracked, low (8%) of pebbles are contained and 72% consists of plant debris

##### 3.1.2. Water infiltration

Test results demonstrate that infiltration is a function of time and soil physical conditions ([Table 4](#)). There are strong linear correlations between time of infiltration and amount of water in both experiments. The Pearson coefficients ( $R^2$ ) are 0.99 for wet soil and 0.95 for dry soil respectively ([Fig. 3](#)). The comparative analysis conducted shows that at the beginning of the experiment water penetrates the dry soil more quickly than wet soil ([Fig. 3](#)). After a few minutes, the trend is reversed with more infiltration for wet soil than for dry soil. This result is quite unexpected. Normally the dry infiltration is highest. However, unexpectedly the curve shows that, dry infiltration is lower. We assume that other physico-chemical factors such as surface components (plant debris, pebbles etc.), particle size could contribute to the process of water infiltration either by accelerating or slowing down the rate.

**Table 4.** Variation in water infiltration between dry soil, wet soil and weather

Test infiltration dry soil		Test of infiltration moist soil	
Accumulate d time (min)	accumulated distance(cm)	Accumulate d time (min)	Cumulated distance (cm)
0	1	0	1
1	1,5	1	1,8
2	2	2	2,1
3	2,1	3	2,8
4	2,3	4	3,2
5	2,5	5	3,8
6	2,9	6	4,4
7	3	7	5,2
8	NA	8	5,8



**Figure 3:** Evolution of water infiltration in wet and dry soils as a function of time. We note

that infiltration is more important and faster in the case of moist soils than for dry soil.





36 **Tableau 6:** Results of particle size analysis

Samples	Deep	Coarse Silt%	Fine Silt%	Clay%	Fine Sand%	Coarse Sand%	SPD%
E <sub>1</sub>	0-10 cm	15,75	33	29,25	16,45	5,25	0,92
E <sub>2</sub>		18,75	30	27,45	19,5	2,9	0,65
E <sub>3</sub>		12,75	34	28,5	17,65	6,9	0,6
E <sub>4</sub>		22	24	29,84	16,9	3,3	0,85
E <sub>5</sub>		23,5	41,75	19,8	12,9	1,85	0,82


37

38

39

40

NB: E<sub>1</sub>: Bare plot; E<sub>2</sub>: Regional control plot; E<sub>3</sub>: Treatment plot; E<sub>4</sub>: Treatment plot; E<sub>5</sub>: Sediment  
 SPD: Susceptibility to Physical Degradation



41

42

43

44

45

46

47

**Figure 5:** Granulometric analysis of soil samples. (a) Show the variability of uppersoil surface (0-10 cm) grain size indication a predominance of silt and clay fraction (Loami-clay). (b) represents the variation of surface susceptibility of physical degradation (SPD). The figure b show show a relative low susceptibility of physical degradation compared to bare (0.92) and sediment surface (0.82)

48

49

### 3.1.5. Bulk Density (BD) and Air capacity (AC)

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Results of analysis of soil air capacity (SAC) and bulk density are presented in the **table 7**. BD is a measure of the weight of soil per unit volume, which includes the volume of soil particles and the pores between them (Archer, 1972). SAC is therefore related to bulk density and at some point, continuous compaction of the soil will decrease water content and cause air capacity to approach zero. SAC of soil is a good indicator of its ability to store root-zone air. In ideal conditions for fine-textured soil; the average of SAC should be about 12 % in order to maintain good atmospheric concentrations of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in. Lower critical limit where fine-textured soil becomes susceptible to periodic anaerobiosis is AC = 9% (Reynolds et al., 2015). The average determined from this study is about 12.37% indicating good condition for soils.

61 **Table 7.** Table Bulk density (BD) and Soil Air Capacity. Data from literature have been used  
 62 to determined the tested samples colleted in the study area.

Literature		Calculated	
BD, bulk density	%AC, air capacity	BD, bulk density	%AC, air capacity
1.1	20	1.25	14.50
1.2	15	1.35	11.83
1.5	6	1.4	10.50
1.8	1	1.33	12.37

63

64 3.1.6 Soil sample permeability

65 3.1.7

66 In this study, two samples are analyzed in the laboratory (**Table 8**). The result is indicated in  
 67 the table 3.6. It can be observed that, bare soil and the regional witness have a rapid  
 68 infiltration.

69

70 **Table 8:** Value of percolation (k) in the Madjouj parcel.

71

SAMPLES	1 HOUR	2 <sup>EME</sup> HOUR	3 <sup>EME</sup> HOUR	AVERAGE
E <sub>1</sub> (20G) PERCOLATION K.	129ml 18,39	92ml 13,12	112ml 15,97	15,82
E <sub>2</sub> (20G) PERCOLATION K.	92ml 17,80	68ml 13,16		15,48

72

73

74 3.1.7. Physicochemical analytical results

75 Results of pH, electrical conductivity (EC), the contents of carbon (c), limestone (calcite),  
 76 organic matters (OM) and nitrogen (N) are shown in **Table 9** below.

77





78 **Table 9.** Analytical data of soil surface layer (0-10cm) physicochemical properties measured  
 79 in the laboratory

Samples	pH	EC (uScm <sup>-1</sup> )	Moistur e (%)	% Carb on	Calcite- carbonates (%)	% OM	Total Nitroge n (%)	Structura l stability Ma (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> )
E <sub>1</sub> : Bare soil	7.5	1.3	3.09	0.02	3.60	0.03	0.46	227.87
E <sub>2</sub> : Regional control plot	7.4	0.7	2.04	0.04	1.10	0.06	0.23	389.25
E <sub>3</sub> : Treatment plot	7.8	0.7	3.09	0.04	1.00	0.08	0.22	323.62
E <sub>4</sub> : Treatment plot	7.6	0.7	1.18	0.02	3.78	0.04	0.27	442.75
E <sub>5</sub> : sediment	7.6	NA	2.66	0.05	2.60	0.08	0.00	350
Sediment Sidi Ahmed Cherif	7.4	0.8	0.5	0.02	1.80	0.04	0.05	400
Fallow Sidi Ahmed Cherif.	7.	0.9	1	0.04	1.10	0.06	0.07	411.75

Ploughed Cherif.	Sidi Ahmed	pH	EC	Moisture	Carbon (C)	Organic Matter (OM)	Other
		7.6	0.8	0.8	0.02	2.20	0.03 0.04 340.75

80 The results indicate that the pH of the soils analyzed is borderline or slightly basic and varies  
 81 in between 7.4 and 7.8. The EC recorded are very low for all soil samples. The very low EC  
 82 values indicate that these soils have low salinity. The humidity measured at the various sites  
 83 of Madjouj and Sidi Ahmed Cherif shows that soil samples are relatively dry and are  
 84 characteristic of semi-arid areas. Analysis of the variation between the physical properties  
 85 pH, EC and moisture shows that there is no relationship between these soil physical  
 86 parameters (Fig. 6a). Carbon (C) and organic matter are poorly contained in soil samples of  
 87 the semi-arid zones. The variations in the curves of C and OM show that there is a high  
 88 dependence between both parameters. The C versus OM plot allow to obtain strong  
 89 positive correlation between soil carbon and organic matter (OM) content with a Pearson  
 90 correlation coefficient  $R^2$  of about 0.99 (Fig. 6c). We can therefore say that C contain of soils  
 91 comes from organic matter and more precisely from plant origin since soils contain 72%  
 92 plant residues (Fig 2). Finally, to identify the soil parameters that control structural stability,  
 93 we study the behaviors of the different curves of constituents (moisture, carbon, organic  
 94 matter, limestone and total nitrogen) with respect to structural stability. The result is  
 95 illustrated in Figure 6b. It can be observed that organic matter and total nitrogen are two  
 96 dependent and determining parameters of structural stability for the Sidi Ahmed Cherif sites.  
 97 However, on the sites of Madjouj they are high significant variations between the total  
 98 nitrogen- and organic matter contents. This difference is much greater for bare soil than for  
 99 soils from treated sites. It emerges from this observation that agricultural and soil  
 100 management practices that consisting of ploughing and the fertilizer application have  
 101 contributed to influencing their structural stability. Thus, the contribution of all the soil  
 102 constituents (moisture, carbon, organic matter, limestone and total nitrogen) to the  
 103 determinization of its structural stability must be considered. This is confirmed here from the  
 104 scatter plot of the sum of all parameters (cited here) versus the structural stability and has  
 105 allowed to define a significantly high correlation coefficient with a Pearson correlation  
 106 coefficient  $R^2$  of about 0.87 (Fig. 6d).

107



108

109 **Figure 6.** Variabilities of topsoils (0-10cm) samples properties and their relationships. (6a)  
 110 variabilities of EC; Calcite; Moisture, Carbon; OM and Nitrogen contents. The figure 6a  
 111 highlights deviations in OM and Nitrogen content according to land use type. It also

112 indicates high dependency between OM and carbon. A high significant correlation is  
113 determined and shown by the figure 6c. (6b) shows the variabilities between OM, Nitrogen  
114 contents and soil structural stability. It indicated that in OM is in good relationship with soil  
115 structural stabilities in case of Sidi Ahmed Cherif plots which not always the case of the plots  
116 of Madjouj. This indicates a contribution of N and other soil parameters. This is confirmed  
117 the figure 6d showing high correlation between soil stability and the total of moisture,  
118 carbon, calcite, OM and total nitrogen.

119

### 120 3.2. DISCUSSIONS

121

122 Land cover condition, land productivity, soil condition, biological diversity are the main parts  
123 of land degradation's evaluation. In arid and semi-arid regions, land cover is either absent or  
124 very sparse leaving soil to meteorological events such as insolation, winds and rainfalls.  
125 Furthermore, soil degradation can only be assessed in a specific temporal and spatial  
126 context using several indicators (Tully et al., 2015; Kihara et al., 2023). However, the extent  
127 and rate of land degradation in sub-Saharan Africa is still under discussion as there are no  
128 reliable data, only rough estimates (Tully et al., 2015). To date many countries located in  
129 arid and semi-arid zones of Africa are challenged by land degradation, low soil productivity  
130 and high rainfall variability that are often associated with climate change (KaranjaNg'ang'a et  
131 al., 2016; Woldearegay et al., 2018). Land degradation is a major cause for poverty in rural  
132 areas of developing countries (Mesfin, 2018). Mitigation of land degradation impacts  
133 depends on understanding the natural cause of degradation (Mohamed, 2019). The lack of  
134 sufficient knowledges of soils in sub-Saharan Africa, especially semi-arid and arid zones, is  
135 much more contrasting. This lack of detailed knowledge of soils is a major handicap for  
136 models predicting wind and water erosion in time and space. Soil degradation affects its  
137 physico-chemical, and biological properties and is caused by an unsustainable land use  
138 practice (van Ittersum et al., 2016; Bado and Bationo, 2018; Kihara et al., 2023). Climate  
139 change characterized by the increase in global temperature, the strengthening of rain  
140 showers and winds, accelerates natural processes of soil erosion. The magnitudes and  
141 extent of increased rates of soil erosion and runoff that could occur under future precipitation  
142 regimes are large. Analyses have shown that changes in precipitation are already  
143 happening with a clear trend toward more extreme events. We will be going around this  
144 discussion to try to understand what are the risks of climate change and anthropogenic  
145 activities on soils and groundwater. The present study shows that runoff and infiltration are  
146 strongly correlated with rain quantities at the beginning of the rainy season (Fig. 3). This  
147 result is also reported by many authors, (e.g. Medjdoob, 1990; Bouziane, 1992; Karambiri et  
148 al., 2003, Ferré, and Warrick, 2005) which demonstrated that there is a relationship between  
149 the physico-chemical properties and soil erodibility. The soil erodibility can be influenced by  
150 its physical properties such the content of gravel that may play a significant role in  
151 controlling the runoff energy and the water infiltration rate. Gravel content is estimated at 8%  
152 (Fig. 2). This fraction of gravel is relatively low; therefore, soils are more susceptible to water  
153 erosion that consists to the fine particles transport, and infiltration as shown by the Figure 3.  
154 According to Bouziane (1992), pebbles covering surfaces can increase the coefficient of  
155 erosiveness. Mandal et al., 2005; Urbanek and Shakesby, 2009; Ban et al., 2023, have  
156 demonstrated that gravels onto the surface of the soil enhance infiltration and protect the soil  
157 against erosion. In addition, an experimental study led by Liu et al. (2023), has shown that

158 the flow depth increases linearly with the rate of gravels coating. The author Liu et al. (2023)  
159 observed a reduction percentage of flow velocity ranged from 13.62 % to 72.4 % on gravel-  
160 covered slopes. It is shown that the exclusion of gravel in crop fields is a serious threat to  
161 soils, because they promote and facilitate the transport of arable land and reduce any  
162 capacity for rainwater infiltration. This practice is very harmful to the environment, which  
163 could promote large-scale flooding and soil degradation processes. The rate of plant debris  
164 in the study sites are estimated at about 72% (Fig. 2) and can contribute to reduce the  
165 energy of water flows and also promote infiltration and to mitigate soil fine material transfers.  
166 The contents of plant debris indicate the presence of organic matter. In the same vein,  
167 Rasoulzadeh et al. (2018) suggests that, the presence of plant residues in soil is the best  
168 residue management practice to reduce soil flow volume and control soil erosion in semi-  
169 arid regions. Other authors claiming that overall, vegetation affects runoff and soil erosion  
170 via intercepting rainfall, eliminating rainfall kinetic energy, preventing soil surface from  
171 raindrop splash, increasing land surface roughness and infiltration capacity, and decreasing  
172 runoff erosive power, soil erodibility, detachment capacity, and sediment transport capacity  
173 directly and indirectly (Sun et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Zhu, 2020a; Zhu, 2020b; Zhu,  
174 2020c). When the vegetation cover is lacking, this mulch forms pebbles that divert  
175 infiltrations in a very significant way. The high proportion of cracks (53%) is linked to the soil  
176 grain size distribution (Fig. 5) and its mineralogical composition. The texture analyze  
177 indicated that all soils are loamy-clayey with a predominance of silt and clay fractions. This  
178 is evident for clay-loam or clay soils in arid and semi-arid zones, where alternation of  
179 humidification and desiccation can effectively decompose the particles by weakening their  
180 bonds (Gens,2010; Burton et al.,2016). Desiccation cracking is a common phenomenon in  
181 clayey soils due high-water loss under drought climate (Vardon, 2015; Zeng, 2020). Once  
182 the tensile stress increases with decreasing water content and exceeds the tensile strength  
183 of soil, desiccation cracking may develop (e.g. Tang et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2011). Soil  
184 texture and mineralogical composition with specific swelling clay species, water infiltration  
185 can influence permeability by altering the arrangement of soil particles architecture. Many  
186 studies have shown that desiccation cracking depends upon a large number of factors:  
187 mineral composition, fine content, temperature, boundary conditions, relative humidity,  
188 drying-wetting cycles, sample size, etc. (Morris et al., 1992; Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2007;  
189 Tang et al., 2011; DeCarlo and Shokri, 2014; Tollenaar et al., 2017; Levatti, 2023). For water  
190 infiltration into cracked soils, it is expected that these cracks will promote water infiltration  
191 into surface or deeper groundwater. This investigation (Fig. 3) shows high rate of water  
192 infiltration from moist soil compared to the dried soil surface. This result was unexpected and  
193 can only be explained by the fact that finer surface particles, infiltrating the subsoil,  
194 temporarily accumulate and block the pores between larger dry particles. This allegation was  
195 reported by Abou (2024) which suggested that, in well graded soils, infiltration can help  
196 create better particle interlocking thus, reducing its permeability. This observation deserves  
197 further study. It may be of great importance as this phenomenon could be a good  
198 explanation for the floods frequently observed in arid and semi-arid regions of the world,  
199 such as the Sahara and the Sahel in Africa and, in any other places where prolonged  
200 drought periods may occur. The African continent has been warming at a slightly faster rate  
201 than the global average, at about +0.3 °C per decade between 1991 and 2023 (WMO,  
202 2024). Global warming could lead to an extension of the already very weakened soil  
203 cracking zones, increasing the ease and risk of surface-polluted water infiltrating into

204 groundwater. Groundwater could be dramatically contaminated with pollutants from waste  
205 accumulated on the earth's surface by human activities. This is facilitated by the enormous  
206 production of waste and its poor management following an unprecedented population growth  
207 and socio-economic activities (UNEP 2024). World regions most exposed to these risks are  
208 economically underdeveloped countries, most of which are in sub-Saharan Africa (UNEP  
209 2024). In addition, excessive water infiltration can lead to erosion, where water flow removes  
210 soil particles from one location and deposits them elsewhere. This process, can weaken  
211 slopes and undermine structures (Abou, 2024). It represents a negative impact for the  
212 development of agriculture and the environment health. The granulometry analysis (Fig. 5a)  
213 are also in agreement with the measurements of the bulk density (BD) and the soil air  
214 capacity (AC) for the site of Madjouj determined here as indicated in table 7. The average  
215 value of 12.33% is characteristic of the predominance of fine particle sizes (silt and clay).  
216 High bulk density may affect available water capacity, movement of air and water through  
217 soil and thereby root growth. The average of AC obtained demonstrates that soils are well  
218 aerated and favorable to infiltration by the water follows (Schindler,1980; Rosolem et al.,  
219 2002; Reszkowska et al., 2011; Reynolds et al., 2015). BD is an indicator of soil health and  
220 compaction that can affects infiltration, water availability, soil porosity, plant rooting depth /  
221 restrictions (USDA, 1987), nutrient availability and microbial activity which influence  
222 significant processes productivity of soil (Nyéki et al., 2017). BD also depends on organic  
223 matter contents, mineral density and the soil texture (Brady, 1990; Sheba et al.,2019). Soil  
224 composition is high significant integrative measure of soil degradation. Result (Table 9)  
225 pH, EC, soil moisture (physicals), organic matter (OM), soil organic carbon (c), calcite, and  
226 total nitrogen are important key indicators of soil degradation. In order to investigated the  
227 parameters that influence soil degradation, we analyzed the variabilities of all properties and  
228 compared with the physical stabilities. First, there is no clear direct effects between pH, EC,  
229 moisture and soil physical stability. In this study all soil samples have a pH (Table 9) values  
230 ranged in between 7.4 and 7.8. Ideally, soil pH is close to neutral and indicating that most  
231 nutrients are available (optimally in 6.5 to 7.5 pH), which is generally compatible for plant  
232 root growth (Yan et al., 2006; Jensen, 2013). Therefore, soils of this study area appear in  
233 good state. Sample analyzed indicates that carbon and OM are low contained (Table 9). The  
234 study also demonstrated that soil carbon contents and the organic matter are highly  
235 correlated (Fig 6c). In the arid and semi-arid areas soil carbon contents are in general very  
236 weak contained. The low carbon content derives from the very sparce and weak vegetations  
237 (OM) that occur during a short period of rainy seasons. In the semi-arid areas, natural  
238 resources are being continuously degraded due to the misuse and inadequate use of  
239 agricultural techniques. According to the above table, organic matter can be increased by  
240 4% on a treatment plot. Regarding Sidi Ahmed Cherif, the organic matter content of the  
241 sediments is lower than the fallow plot, which may be illogical. Generally, in semi-arid soil  
242 organic carbon content is below 1.8 and now decreases yearly to 2.8 to 13.0 t. C. ha<sup>-1</sup> (FAO  
243 and ITPS, 2015; Namirembe et al., 2020; Bolo et al., 2023). Indeed, organic matter is a  
244 fundamental component of the soil, which partly controls the physical, chemical and  
245 biological properties affecting its production capacity. According to (Armstrong and  
246 Tanton,1992; Baldock et al., 1994; Chenu et al., 2000; Wuddivira and Camps-Roach, 2007),  
247 the cationic bridging effect of the calcium ion (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and the flocculating ability of clay and  
248 organic matter are crucial in the formation and stability of soil aggregates. In this study,  
249 organic matter (OM) appears more related with the soil physical stability and affects both the



250 chemical and physical properties of the soil and its overall health. The properties of soils  
251 influenced by the presence of organic matter are: soil structure; moisture retention capacity;  
252 diversity and activity of soil organisms. These properties can be both beneficial and  
253 detrimental to crop production; and nutrient availability (e.g., Carter, 2002; USDA-NRCS,  
254 2014). We found that the sum of soil chemical properties (moisture, soil carbon, OM, calcite  
255 and total nitrogen) is highly correlated to the soil physical structural stability (Fig 6d).  
256 Nitrogen is important to all life. Nitrogen in the atmosphere or in the soil can go through  
257 many complex chemical and biological changes, be combined into living and non-living  
258 material, and return back to the soil or air in a continuing cycle (Lai et al., 2022). In term of  
259 climate change, and climate warming, maintaining OM and nitrogen in soil will contribute to  
260 its physical stability and to avoid the erosion by water and wind processes.

261

#### 262 **4. CONCLUSION**

263

264 An assessment of water erosion processes is more than necessary for low-resource  
265 countries located in the arid and semi-arid regions of Africa in order to prevent the risk of  
266 contamination of aquifer water useful for consumption and irrigations. The study indicates  
267 that topsoil samples (0-10cm) have a good apparent density, quite good surface conditions,  
268 and therefore resistant to rainfall splash. However, they are very sensitive to erosion by  
269 runoff in just 10 minutes of rain and sometimes 4 minutes when several showers follow each  
270 other. Both stations have a low RUSLE erosion index and do not exceed the tolerance. Soils  
271 have good porosity and air capacity due to the texture. These physical characteristics allow  
272 a good infiltration of rainwater, facilitated by the significant presence of cracks (53%). The  
273 study also highlighted the importance of physico-chemical properties for maintaining soil  
274 structural stability. Our study showed that soil moisture, carbon, calcite, organic matter and  
275 total nitrogen contribute to the maintenance of soil stability. Organic matter is the important  
276 factor determining for the soil structural stability. This study is especially revealing of the  
277 risks of deep erosion that could bring global warming. Indeed, the soils in arid and semi-arid  
278 regions could be affected by climate leading to an increasing of soil cracks, decreasing of  
279 organic matter, nitrogen content and other important physico-chemical properties.  
280 Consequently, it could induce a drastic loss of soil structural stability. This vulnerability of the  
281 soils also may result in loss of fertility, increase in the process of infiltration by surface  
282 waters that will facilitate of groundwater tables contamination very useful for consumption  
283 and irrigation. A key factor in climate change resilience will be the maintenance of sufficient  
284 amount of organic matter in soils to ensure their structural stability. Even more in-depth  
285 studies with broader data are needed to better understand the processes of rainwater  
286 infiltration, and their consequences on flood phenomena and groundwater contamination  
287 risks.

288

289

#### 290 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

291

292 The authors warmly thank all those who contributed to this study.

293

294

295 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

296

297 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal  
298 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

299

300 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

301

302 Mounkaila Mohamed and Zeinabou Mahamadou: Writing the original draft the methodology,  
303 formal analysis conceptualization and resources. Abass Saley Abdoulatif: Formal analysis,  
304 data curation and reviewing. Rabilou Souley Moussa: reviewing. INOUSSA Maman  
305 Maarouhi: Supervision.

306

307 **ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)**

308 This work does not require any experience on human or animal individuals

309

310

311 **REFERENCES**

312

- 313 1. Abou Seedah, A. M., Tolba, E. R., & Galal, E. M. (2024). Numerical study on the  
314 stability of a marine causeway by using two different geotechnical reinforcement  
315 systems of geotextile. *Port-Said Engineering Research Journal*, 28(1), 1-15.  
316 <https://www.geofem.com/post/how-can-groundwater-affect-soil-properties>
- 317 2. Amundson, R., Berhe, A. A., Hopmans, J. W., Olson, C., Sztein, A. E., & Sparks, D. L.  
318 (2015). Soil and human security in the 21st century. *Science*, 348(6235), 1261071.
- 319 3. Archer J. R. and P. D. Smith. (1972). The Relation between Bulk Density, Available  
320 Water Capacity, and Air Capacity of Soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp.  
321 475-480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1972.tb01678.x>
- 322 4. Armstrong, A.S.B. & Tanton, T.W. (1992). Gypsum applications to aggregated saline-  
323 sodic clay topsoils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 43, 249–260.
- 324 5. Bado, V.B., Bationo, A. (2018). Integrated management of soil fertility and land  
325 resources in Sub-Saharan Africa: involving local communities. *Adv. in Agron.* 150, 1-  
326 33.
- 327 6. Baldock, J.A., Aoyanna, M., Oades, J.M., Susanto, R.H. & Grant, C.D. (1994).  
328 Structural amelioration of a South Australian red-brown earth using calcium and  
329 organic amendments. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 32, 571–594.
- 330 7. Ban, Yunyun, Lei, Tingwu, Feng, Ren, Qian, Dengfeng (2017). Effect of stone content  
331 on water flow velocity over Loess slope: Frozen soil. *Journal of Hydrology*, 554. 792-  
332 799 [doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.09.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.09.038)
- 333 8. Benselama O, Mazour M, Hasbaia M, Djoukbal O, Mokhtari S (2018) Prediction of  
334 water erosion sensitive areas in Mediterranean watershed, a case study of Wadi El  
335 Maleh in north-west of Algeria. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*  
336 190(12):735. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-7117-1>
- 337 9. Bolo, P.O.; Kihara, J.; Mucheru-Muna, M.; Mugendi, E.; Kinyua, M. (2023). Soil  
338 aggregation, organic carbon and microbial Biomass as affected by tillage, residue  
339 management and cropping systems in tropical ferralsols of Western Kenya. *East*  
340 *African Agriculture and Forestry Journal* 87(2): p. 125-133 p. ISSN: 0012-8325.  
341 <https://hdl.handle.net/10568/139688>
- 342 10. Borrelli, P., Robinson, D.A., Fleischer, L.R. *et al.* (2017). An assessment of the global  
343 impact of 21st century land use change on soil erosion. *Nat Commun* 8, 2013 (2017).  
344 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02142-7>

- 345 11. Boughalem, M., et al. "Utilisation des SIG pour l'aménagement du bassin-versant de  
346 l'ISSER (Algerie)". *Lutte antiérosive*, edited by Éric Roose et al., IRD Éditions, 2012,  
347 <https://doi.org/10.4000/books.irdeditions.13898>.
- 348 12. Boughalem M., Ienciu A.A., Oumarou Toure A., Meghraoui M., Regagba M., Souidi Z.,  
349 Hamimed A. 2020. Étude du risque d'érosion hydrique des sols dans la région de  
350 Tlemcen, Algérie. Study of soil water erosion risk in the region of Tlemcen, Algeria.  
351 *Geo-Eco-Trop* 44(4): 595-607.
- 352 13. Bouwer, H. (1986). Intake rate: cylinder infiltrometer. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 1*  
353 *Physical and Mineralogical Methods*, 5, 825-844.
- 354 14. Bouziane Farida. (1992). contribution à l'étude de l'érosion dans le BV de l'Isser,  
355 érodibilité du sol. Mémoire Ing. D'Etat. Fores. Univ. Tlemcen. 106p.
- 356 15. Brady N. C. 1990, The nature and properties of soils, Macmillan Publishing Company  
357 Incorporated
- 358 16. Burton, C. A., Hoefen, T. M., Plumlee, G. S., Baumberger, K. L., Backlin, A. R.,  
359 Gallegos, E., & Fisher, R. N. (2016). Trace elements in stormflow, ash, and burned soil  
360 following the 2009 station fire in Southern California. *PloS one*, 11(5), e0153372.  
361 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153372>
- 362 17. Carter MR (2002) Soil quality for sustainable land management. Organic Matter and  
363 aggregation interactions that maintain soil function. *Agron. J* 94:38-47. doi:  
364 10.2134/agronj2002.3800
- 365 18. Casagrande, A. (1932) Research on the Atterberg Limits of Soil. *Public Roads*, 13,  
366 121-136.
- 367 19. Cheng, Q., Tang, C. S., Zeng, H., Zhu, C., An, N., & Shi, B. (2020). Effects of  
368 microstructure on desiccation cracking of a compacted soil. *Engineering Geology*, 265,  
369 105418.
- 370 20. Chenu, C., Le Bissonnais, Y. & Arrouays, D. (2000). Organic matter influence on clay  
371 wettability and soil aggregate stability. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 64,  
372 1479–1486.
- 373 21. Chia, R. W., Lee, J. Y., Jang, J., Kim, H., & Kwon, K. D. (2022). Soil health and  
374 microplastics: a review of the impacts of microplastic contamination on soil  
375 properties. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 22(10), 2690-2705.  
376 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-022-03254-4>
- 377 22. DeCarlo, K. F., & Shokri, N. (2014). Salinity effects on cracking morphology and  
378 dynamics in 3-D desiccating clays. *Water Resources Research*, 50(4), 3052-3072.
- 379 23. Deore J Sachin., Shruti Pethkar S. (2023). Evaluation of spatial and temporal variability  
380 in rainfall erosivity and soil erosion risk in Maharashtra, India. Chapter 13 Editor(s):  
381 Uday Chatterjee, Biswajeet Pradhan, Suresh Kumar, Sourav Saha, Mohammad  
382 Zakwan, Brian D. Fath, Dan Fiscus, In *Science of Water, Land, and Forest*  
383 *Susceptibility and Sustainability*, Elsevier, Volume 1, Pages 353-379, ISBN  
384 9780323918800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91880-0.00034-9>.
- 385 24. Desmet, P. J. J. & Govers, G. (1996). A GIS procedure for automatically calculating  
386 the USLE LS factor on topographically complex landscape units. *Journal of Soil and*  
387 *Water Conservation*. 51 (5), 427–433
- 388 25. FAO/UNEP/UNESCO. (1979). A provisional methodology for soil degradation  
389 assessment. FAO: Rome.
- 390 26. FAO & ITPS. (2015). Status of the World's Soil Resources (SWSR) – Main Report.  
391 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and Intergovernmental  
392 Technical Panel on Soils, Rome, Italy.
- 393 27. Ferré, TPA & Warrick, AW (2005), 'INFILTRATION', in *Encyclopedia of Soils in the*  
394 *Environment*. Elsevier, pp. 254-260. Available at:  
395 <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B0123485304003829>
- 396 28. Fischer, C.; Tischer, J.; Roscher, C.; Eisenhauer, N.; Ravenek, J.; Gleixner, G.;  
397 Attinger, S.; Jensen, B.; De Kroon, H.; Mommer, L.J.P. (2015). Plant species diversity

- 398 affects infiltration capacity in an experimental grassland through changes in soil  
399 properties. *Plant Soil* 2015, 397, 1–16.
- 400 29. Fredj, A., Redhouane G., Saad D., Boualem R. (2024). Assessing soil erosion through  
401 the implementation of the RUSLE model and geospatial technology in the Isser  
402 watershed, northern Algeria. *Water Supply*. July 2024; 24 (7): 2487–2505. doi:  
403 <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2024.154>
- 404 30. Ganasri, B. P. & Ramesh, H. (2016). Assessment of soil erosion by RUSLE model  
405 using remote sensing and GIS, a case study of Nethravathi Basin. *Geoscience*  
406 *Frontiers* 7, 953–961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2015.10.007>.
- 407 31. Gens, A. (2010). Soil–environment interactions in geotechnical engineering. *Geo-*  
408 *technique* 60, No. 1, 3–74. Doi: 10.1680/geot.9. P.109
- 409 32. Gonzalez-Sosa, E.; Braud, I.; Dehotin, J.; Lassabatère, L.; Angulo-Jaramillo, R.;  
410 Lagouy, M.; Branger, F.; Jacqueminet, C.; Kermadi, S.; Michel, K. (2010). Impact of  
411 land use on the hydraulic properties of the topsoil in a small French catchment. *Hydrol.*  
412 *Process.*2010, 24, 2382–2399.
- 413 33. Hillel, D. *Environmental Soil Physics*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1998.
- 414 34. Huq, S. and Reid, H. (2004). Mainstreaming adaptation to development. *Institute for*  
415 *Development Studies Bulletin*, 35, 15-21
- 416 35. IPCC, 2001: Climate Change (2001). Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability.  
417 Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the  
418 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, J.J. McCarthy, O.F. Canziani, N.A.  
419 Leary, D.J. Dokken and K.S. White, Eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,  
420 1032 pp
- 421 36. Jensen, L. S. (2013). Animal manure fertiliser value, crop utilisation and soil quality  
422 impacts. *Animal manure recycling: treatment and management*, 295-328.
- 423 37. Karambiri, H., Ribolzi, O., Delhoume, J.P., Ducloux, J., Coudrain-Ribstein, A. and  
424 Casenave, A. (2003). Importance of soil surface characteristics on water erosion in a  
425 small grazed Sahelian catchment. *Hydrological Processes*, 17(8): 1495-1507.
- 426 38. KaranjaNg'ang'a S, Van Wijk MT, Rufino MC, Giller KE (2016) Adaptation of  
427 agriculture to climate change in semi-arid Borena Ethiopia. *Regional Environmental*  
428 *Change* 16: 2317-2330. Link: <https://bit.ly/3q9ycHc>
- 429 39. Keesstra, S. D., Bouma, J., Wallinga, J., Tiftonell, P., Smith, P., Cerdà, A.,  
430 Montanarella, L., Quinton, J. N., Pachepsky, Y., van der Putten, W. H., Bardgett, R. D.,  
431 Moolenaar, S., Mol, G., Jansen, B., and Fresco, L. O. (2016). The significance of soils  
432 and soil science towards realization of the United Nations Sustainable Development  
433 Goals, *SOIL*, 2, 111–128, <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-111-2016>
- 434 40. Kemper WD, Rosenau RC (1986) Aggregate stability and size distribution. In: Klute A  
435 (ed) *Methods of soil analysis. Part I. Physical and mineralogical methods*, 2nd ed.  
436 SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 425–442
- 437 41. Kihara, J., Mkiza, M., Mutambu, D., Kinyua, M., Mwangi, O., Bolo, P., Liben, F., Abera,  
438 W. (2023). Soil Health in SubSaharan Africa: Status and solutions, *Growing Africa* 2(1),  
439 16-22. <https://doi.org/10.55693/ga21.EAQQ1636>
- 440 42. Lai, H., Gao, F., Su, H., Zheng, P., Li, Y., & Yao, H. (2022). Nitrogen distribution and  
441 soil microbial community characteristics in a legume–cereal intercropping system: A  
442 review. *Agronomy*, 12(8), 1900.
- 443 43. Le Bissonnais, Y. (2016), Aggregate stability and assessment of soil crustability and  
444 erodibility: I. Theory and methodology. *Eur J Soil Sci*, 67: 11  
445 21. [https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.4\\_12311](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.4_12311)
- 446 44. Levatti, H. U. (2023). A State of the Art: Numerical Methods to Simulate Desiccation  
447 Cracks in Clayey Soils.
- 448 45. Liu, J, Zhang, K, Peng, Y, Rubinato, M, Zhang, H & Li, P (2023). Effect of gravel  
449 coverage on the hydrodynamic characteristics of overland flow on the Loess Plateau in  
450 China. *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 627, Part A, 130322 ISSN 0022-1694 ESSN 1879-

- 451 2707. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.130322> DOI  
452 [10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.130322](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.130322)
- 453 46. Mandal, U.K., Rao, K.V., Mishra, P.K., Vittal, K.P.R., Sharma, K.L., Narsimlu, B. and  
454 Venkanna, K. (2005), Soil infiltration, runoff and sediment yield from a shallow soil with  
455 varied stone cover and intensity of rain. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 56: 435-  
456 443. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2004.00687.>
- 457 47. Mazour M. (1992). Les facteurs de risque de l'érosion en nappe dans le bassin  
458 versant d'Isser : Tlemcen, Algérie. *Bull. Réseau Erosion* 12: 300-313.
- 459 48. Medjdoob Nouria. (1990). Quantification de l'érosion et de ruissellement sur les parcelles  
460 d'érosion de la station de Madjouj. Mem. Ing d'Etat. Fores. Univ.Tlemcen.74p
- 461 49. Mengie, M. A., Hagos, Y. G., Malede, D. A. & Andualem, T. G. (2022). Assessment of  
462 soil loss rate using GIS–RUSLE interface in Tashat Watershed, Northwestern  
463 Ethiopia. *Journal of Sedimentary Environments* 7, 617–631.  
464 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43217-022-00112-8>.
- 465 50. Mesfin S, Taye G, Desta Y, Sibhatu B, Muruts H, et al. (2018) Short-term effects of  
466 bench terraces on selected soil physical and chemical properties: landscape  
467 improvement for hillside farming in semi-arid areas of northern Ethiopia. *Environmental*  
468 *Earth Sciences* 77: 399. Link: <https://bit.ly/3iQzMQf>
- 469 51. Mohamed E, Belal AA, Ali RR, Saleh A, Hendawy EA (2019) Land degradation. In *The*  
470 *soils of Egypt*. Springer Cham 159-174. Link: <https://bit.ly/37RHCTw>
- 471 52. Mohammed, S., Alsafadi, K., Talukdar, S., Kiwan, S., Hennawi, S., Alshihabi, O.,  
472 Sharaf, M. & Harsanyie, E. (2020). Estimation of soil erosion risk in southern part of  
473 Syria by using RUSLE integrating geo informatics approach. *Remote Sensing*  
474 *Applications: Society and Environment* 20, 100375. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100375)  
475 [org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100375](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100375).
- 476 53. Morsli, B. (1996). Contribution à l'étude des sols de montagne : caractérisation,  
477 distribution et susceptibilité à l'érosion. Theser. Magis. INA. Alger. 154p
- 478 54. Morris, D. R., Caldwell, A. G., & Corkern, D. L. (1992). Irrigating and liming alfalfa on  
479 coastal plain soil. *Agronomy Journal*, 84(6), 951-955.
- 480 55. Mounkaila, M., Zeinabou, M., Abdoulatif, A. S., Moussa, R. S., Maman, I. M., & Salifou,  
481 S. (2025). Hydrochemical Assessment of Water Quality and Risks Related to the Use  
482 of Groundwater Resources in the Urban Area of Niamey and Nearby Rural Areas in  
483 the Regions of Dosso and Tillabery in Niger. *International Journal of Environment and*  
484 *Climate Change*, 15(1), 178–202. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2025/v15i14684>
- 485 56. Namirembe, S., et al. (2020). Soil organic carbon in agricultural systems of six  
486 countries in East Africa—a literature review of status and carbon sequestration  
487 potential. *South African J. Plant & Soil*, 37(1), 35-49.
- 488 57. Nelson, P. J. (1997). To Hold the Land: Soil Erosion, Agricultural Scientists, and the  
489 Development of Conservation Tillage Techniques. *Agricultural History*, 71(1), 71–90.  
490 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3744686>
- 491 58. Nyéki, G. Milics, A. J. Kovács and M. Neményi (2017), Effects of Soil Compaction on  
492 Cereal Yield. *Cereal Research Communications*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 1 – 22
- 493 59. Pérez-Rodríguez, R., Marques, M. J., & Bienes, R. (2007). Spatial variability of the soil  
494 erodibility parameters and their relation with the soil map at subgroup level. *Science of*  
495 *the Total Environment*, 378(1-2), 166-173.
- 496 60. Radhouane, L. (2013). Climate change impacts on North African countries and on  
497 some Tunisian economic sectors. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment for*  
498 *International Development (JAEID)*, 107(1), 101–113.  
499 <https://doi.org/10.12895/jaeid.20131.123>
- 500 61. Ramos, J. C., Bertol, I., Barbosa, F. T., Bertól, C., Mafra, Á. L., Miquelluti, D. J., &  
501 Mecabô Júnior, J. (2016). Water erosion in surface soil conditions: runoff velocity,  
502 concentration and D 50 index of sediments in runoff. *Scientia Agricola*, 73, 286-293.

- 503 62. Rasoulzadeh, A., Azartaj, E., Asghari, A., & Ghavidel, A. (2018). Effects of plant  
504 residue management on soil properties, surface runoff, and soil loss under rainfall  
505 simulation in a semi-arid region in Iran. *Arid Land Research and Management*, 33, 200  
506 - 211.
- 507 63. Reszkowska, A., Krümmelbein, J., Peth, S., Horn, R., Zhao, Y., & Gan, L. (2011).  
508 Influence of grazing on hydraulic and mechanical properties of semiarid steppe soils  
509 under different vegetation type in Inner Mongolia, China. *Plant and Soil*, 340, 59-72.
- 510 64. Reynolds, T. W., Waddington, S. R., Anderson, C. L., Chew, A., True, Z., & Cullen, A.  
511 (2015). Environmental impacts and constraints associated with the production of major  
512 food crops in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. *Food Security*, 7, 795-822.
- 513 65. Robinson, D. A. et al. (2017). Soil natural capital in Europe; a framework for state and  
514 change assessment. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 6706. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06819-3>
- 515 66. Ross, S. (1993). Organic matter in tropical soils: current conditions, concerns and  
516 prospects for conservation. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 17, 265 - 305.
- 517 67. Roose, E., M. Arabi, K. Brahama, R. Chebbani, M. Mazour, B. Morsli (1996),  
518 „Recherches sur la réduction des risques d'érosion par la GCES en moyenne  
519 montagne méditerranéenne algérienne”, Ch. Orstom, Seri. Pédol., vol XXXVIII, no 2,  
520 289-30738
- 521 68. Rosolem, C., Foloni, J., Tiritan, C., 2002. Root growth and nutrient accumulation in  
522 cover crops as affected by soil compaction. *Soil Tillage Res.* 65, 109–115.  
523 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(01\)00286-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(01)00286-0).
- 524 69. Schindler, U., (1980)
- 525 70. . Ein Schnellverfahren zur Messung der Wasserleitfähigkeit im teilgesättigten Boden an  
526 Stechzylinderproben. *Arch. Acker Pflanzenbau Bodenkd* 24, 1–7
- 527 71. Sheba, M. C., Devaki, R., & Uma, R. N. (2019). Case study on the soil physical  
528 parameters disparity and NPK concentrations in regions found in and around  
529 Pachapalayam, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science  
530 and Engineering* (Vol. 705, No. 1, p. 012052). IOP Publishing.
- 531 72. Sheng, Q.T.; Dong, L.S. (2016). Influence of water quality on soil saturated hydraulic  
532 conductivity and infiltration properties. *Trans. Chin. Soc. Agric. Mach.* 2016, 47, 108–  
533 114.
- 534 73. Sun, Shao & Liu (2013). *Sun W, Shao Q, Liu J. Soil erosion and its response to the  
535 changes of precipitation and vegetation cover on the Loess Plateau. Journal of  
536 Geographical Sciences.* 2013;23(6):1091–1106. doi: 10.1007/s11442-013-1065-z
- 537 74. Tamir Klein, Dan Yakir, Nina Buchmann, & Jos e M. Grünzweig. (2014). Towards an  
538 advanced assessment of the hydrological vulnerability of forests to climate change-  
539 induced drought. *The New Phytologist*, 201(3), 712–716.  
540 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/newphytologist.201.3.712>
- 541 75. Tang, J., Mo, Y., Zhang, J., & Zhang, R. (2011). Influence of biological aggregating  
542 agents associated with microbial population on soil aggregate stability. *Applied Soil  
543 Ecology*, 47(3), 153-159.
- 544 76. Tollenaar, R. N., Van Paassen, L. A., & Jommi, C. (2017). Observations on the  
545 desiccation and cracking of clay layers. *Engineering geology*, 230, 23-31.
- 546 77. Tully, K., Sullivan, C., Weil, R., & Sanchez, P. (2015). The State of Soil Degradation in  
547 Sub-Saharan Africa: Baselines, Trajectories, and Solutions. *Sustainability*, 7(6), 6523-  
548 6552. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7066523>
- 549 78. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division  
550 (2024). World Population Prospects 2024: Summary of Results (UN  
551 DESA/POP/2024/TR/NO. 9)
- 552 79. United Nations Environment Program- UNEP. (2024). Global Waste Management  
553 Outlook 2024: Beyond an age of waste – Turning rubbish into a resource. Nairobi.  
554 <https://wedocs.unep.org/20.500.11822/44939>

- 555 80. Urbanek, E., and Shakesby, R.A. (2009). Impact of stone content on water movement  
556 in water-repellent sand. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 60.
- 557 81. USDA (1987). Soil Mechanics Level I. Module 3 – USDA Textural Soil Classification.  
558 Study Guide., Stillwater, OK, USA: USDA, Soil Conservation Service
- 559 82. USDA-NRCS (2014) Soil Organic Matter. United States Department of Agriculture  
560 Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) Soil Health – Guides for  
561 Educators
- 562 83. van Ittersum, M. K., van Bussel, L. G. J., Wolf, J., Grassini, P., van Wart, J., Guilpart,  
563 N., Claessens, L., de Groot, H., Wiebe, K., Mason-D'Croz, D., Yang, H., Boogaard, H.,  
564 van Oort, P. A. J., van Loon, M. P., Saito, K., Adimo, O., Adjei-Nsiah, S., Agali, A.,  
565 Bala, A., Chikowo, R., Kaizzi, K., Kouressy, M., Makoi, J. H. J. R., Ouattara, K.,  
566 Tesfaye, K., and Cassman, K. G. (2016). Can sub-Saharan Africa feed itself?  
567 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113, 14964-14969,  
568 10.1073/pnas.1610359113
- 569 84. Vardon, P. J. (2015). Climatic influence on geotechnical infrastructure: review.  
570 *Environmental Geotechnics*, 2(3), 166-174.
- 571 85. Wang X, Cammeraat EL, Romeijn P, Kalbitz K. (2014). Soil organic carbon  
572 redistribution by water erosion--the role of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for the carbon budget. PLoS  
573 One. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096299. PMID: 24802350; PMCID: PMC4011700
- 574 86. Williams, J. R. (1975). Sediment-Yield Prediction with Universal Equation Using  
575 Runoff Energy Factor (Volume 40, p. 244). Washington DC: ARS-S, Southern Region,  
576 Agricultural Research Service, US Department of Agriculture.
- 577 87. Wischmeier WH, Smith DD (1958).. Rainfall energy and its relationship to soil loss.  
578 *Trans Am Geophys Union* 39 :285–291
- 579 88. Wischmeier W.H. (1959). A rainfall erosivity index for a universal soil loss  
580 equation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 23: 246-249.
- 581 89. Wischmeier, W. H., & Smith, D. D. (1965). Prediction rainfall Erosion losses from  
582 cropland east of the Rocky Mountains: A guide for selection of practices for soil and  
583 water conservation. *Agric Handy*, 282, 47.
- 584 90. Wischmeier WH, Smith DD (1978). Predicting rainfall erosion losses. U.S. Department  
585 of Agriculture, USA (Agriculture handbook no. 537)
- 586 91. Woldearegay K, Tamene L, Mekonnen K, Kizito F, Bossio D (2018) Fostering food  
587 security and climate resilience through integrated landscape restoration practices and  
588 rainwater harvesting/management in arid and semi-arid areas of Ethiopia. In  
589 Rainwater-Smart Agriculture in Arid and Semi-Arid Areas. Springer Cham 35-57. Link:  
590 <https://bit.ly/2W2AVvb>
- 591 92. World Meteorological Organization: WMO. (2024). Africa faces disproportionate burden  
592 from climate change and adaptation costs. State of the Climate in Africa 2023. (WMO)  
593 CH-1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland. ISBN 978-92-63-11360-3. <https://wmo.int/publication-series/state-of-climate-africa-2023>
- 594 93. Wuddivira, M.N. and Camps-Roach, G. (2007), Effects of organic matter and calcium  
595 on soil structural stability. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 58: 722-  
596 727. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00861.x>
- 597 94. Yan, F., B. Hütsch and S. Schubert. (2006). Soil-pH dynamics after incorporation of  
598 fresh and oven-dried plant shoot materials. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci*, vol. 169, p. 506–508
- 599 95. Yu, M.Z.; Zhang, L.L.; Xu, X.X.; Feger, K.H.; Wang, Y.H.; Liu, W.Z.; Schwarzel, K.  
600 (2015). Impact of land-use changes on soil hydraulic properties of Calcaric Regosols  
601 on the Loess Plateau, NW China. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 2015, 178, 486–498.
- 602 96. Yusron, S. & Eko, P. (2023). Soil erosion prediction and risk assessment using  
603 RUSLE model and GIS techniques in the Nangka watershed. *Journal of Water and*  
604 *Land Development* 55 (184), 191p. <https://doi.org/10.24425/jwld.2022.142320>
- 605 97. Zeng, Q., Liu, Y., Xiao, L., & An, S. (2020). Climate and soil properties regulate soil  
606 fungal communities on the Loess Plateau. *Pedobiologia*, 81, 150668.
- 607

- 608 98. Zhu, P.; Zhang, G.; Wang, H.; Xing, S. (2020). Soil infiltration properties affected by  
609 typical plant communities on steep gully slopes on the Loess Plateau of China. J.  
610 Hydrol. 2020, 590, 125535.
- 611 99. Zhu (2020a). Variation in soil surface roughness under different land uses in a small  
612 watershed on the Loess Plateau, China. Catena, № 188
- 613 100. Zhu, (2020b). Land surface roughness affected by vegetation restoration age and  
614 types on the Loess Plateau of China, Geoderma, № 366  
615 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114240>
- 616 101. Zhu, (2020c). Soil infiltration properties affected by typical plant communities on  
617 steep gully slopes on the Loess Plateau of China, Journal of Hydrology, № 590  
618 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125535>