

1
2
3 **EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF**
4 **VARIOUS AMELIORANT SOURCES IN**
5 **INCREASING NP ABSORPTION AND SWEET**
6 **CORN PRODUCTIVITY ON SANDY SOIL**
7
8
9

10 **ABSTRACT**
11

Aims:

This study aimed to determine the effectiveness of various ameliorant sources in enhancing NP uptake and the productivity of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. *saccharata*) in sandy soil.

Study Design:

The study used a randomized block design with five treatments and four replications.

Place and Duration of Study:

The field experiment was conducted in a sandy soil area in MoncokKarya, PejerukKarya Village, Ampenan District, Mataram City. The analysis part was carried out in Microbiology laboratory, and in the Soil Physics and Chemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mataram. All series of trials were completed in six months.

Methodology:

The experimental tested five treatments, namely; Control, no ameliorant (A0), Rice Husk Charcoal (AA), Cow Manure (AS), Compost (AK), and Fertile Organic Fertilizer (AP). Each treatment was replicated 4 times. Observations were made on biomass weight, crop yield, nutrient concentrations (N and P), nutrient uptake, and mycorrhizal activity.

Results:

Ameliorant treatment with cow manure significantly improved plant growth and productivity by enhancing nutrient availability in the soil. This included increases in biomass, and yield. Cow manure also promoted mycorrhizal activity, improved soil structure and increased nutrient absorption efficiency.

Conclusion:

The research result showed that the cow manure as an ameliorant markedly enhanced NP uptake and productivity of sweet corn in sandy soil. It improved soil fertility, supported mycorrhizal colonization, and strengthened plant resistance to environmental stresses.

12
13 *Keywords: Ameliorant, sandy soil, sweet corn production*

14 **1. INTRODUCTION**
15

16 Sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. *saccharata*) is a type of vegetables widely consumed, with
17 demand increasing each year in line with population growth in Indonesia and many other
18 regions, including Latin America, Europe, and Asia (Revilla et al., 2021). Its sweeter taste
19 compared to other types of corn is attributed to the sugar content in its endosperm.
20 Additionally, sweet corn provides sufficient nutritional value to meet dietary needs (Palacios-
21 Rojaset al., 2020).

22 In 100 g of sweet corn contains 85 calories, 3.2 g of protein, 1.2 g of fat, 19 g of
23 carbohydrates, 2 mg of calcium, 270 mg of potassium, 0.5 mg of iron, 400 IU of vitamin A,
24 0.15 mg of vitamin B, 6.8 mg of vitamin C, and 72.7 g of water (USDA, 2019). Beyond its

25 seeds, other parts of the plant have various uses: young stems and leaves can serve as
26 animal feed, older stems and leaves can be used as green manure or compost materials,
27 and dry stems and leaves can act as an alternative fuel source to replace firewood. Baby
28 corn can also be cooked and consumed as a vegetable (Swapna et al., 2024).

29 Sweet corn is frequently incorporated into various dishes, such as sour vegetable soup, corn
30 fritters, corn syrup, corn ice cream, corn cakes, and numerous other foods. Ready-to-eat
31 processed sweet corn products are widely available in most cities, sold through small
32 businesses and franchises (Serna-Saldivar and Carrillo, 2019).

33 The increasing demand for sweet corn, driven by population growth and changing
34 consumption patterns, has encouraged farmers in Indonesia to boost production each
35 season due to its profitable prospects. There has been an average annual increase of
36 28.81% in sweet corn consumption in Indonesia (Ministry of Agriculture, 2021). However,
37 sweet corn production in Indonesia fluctuates significantly from year to year. In 2019,
38 production reached 22.5 million tons, dropped to 14.37 million tons in 2020, increased to
39 15.79 million tons in 2021, and rose again to 20.1 million tons in 2022 (Central Statistics
40 Agency, 2022). These fluctuations indicate that sweet corn production remains unstable,
41 leading to an inability to consistently meet the growing market demand.

42 Cultivating sweet corn on sandy soil has several challenges, including low fertility and limited
43 nutrient availability. The soil's texture makes it difficult to retain water and nutrients. This is
44 because 70% of sandy soil particles are large, resulting in poor soil structure, low organic
45 matter content, and limited water retention in the soil system (Duchaufour, 2012). However,
46 with proper management, sandy soil can be improved to increase fertility and agricultural
47 productivity. One effective strategy to increase plant productivity on sandy soil is to
48 manage the availability of nutrients by using soil amendments or adding organic matter and
49 other beneficial ingredients (Huang and Hartemink, 2020).

50 The addition of ameliorant materials as soil amendments plays a crucial role in improving the
51 physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil. Ameliorants, or soil conditioners, are
52 materials added to soil to enhance root environmental conditions and support plant growth
53 (Astiko et al., 2023). Several studies have demonstrated that ameliorants can increase soil
54 pH, improve nutrient availability, enhance water retention, and boost soil permeability
55 (Windowati et al., 2021). Common materials used as ameliorants are compost, cow manure,
56 and rice husk charcoal)

57 Cow manure is an organic fertilizer that improves soil structure and water retention, provides
58 additional nutrients, enhances cation exchange capacity, and supports the growth of soil
59 microorganisms. It contains high levels of organic carbon, a complete range of nutrients, is
60 readily available, and is cost-effective (Sun et al., 2021). Similarly, the application of organic
61 materials like compost helps restore degraded soil by binding nutrients that might otherwise
62 be lost, increasing nutrient availability, enhancing fertilization efficiency, and improving soil
63 physical properties such as aggregate stability, specific gravity, porosity, plasticity,
64 permeability, and water-holding capacity. The nutrients in compost are utilized by soil
65 microbes, which convert complex organic compounds that are unavailable to plants into
66 simpler organic and inorganic compounds that can be absorbed by plants (Ayilara et al.,
67 2020).

68 Compost is formed from organic materials such as leaves, grass, straw, and animal waste
69 that decompose due to microbial activity (Sayara et al., 2020). The quality of compost
70 depends on its carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratio, which should ideally range between 12 and 15
71 for optimal effectiveness (Azim et al., 2018). Applying compost at a rate of 7.5–15 tons per

72 hectare can significantly improve plant growth, fresh cob weight, and fresh stover weight in
73 sweet corn plants (Zapalowska and Jarecki, 2024).

74 Rice husk charcoal contains nutrients such as 0.3% nitrogen (N), 15% phosphorus
75 pentoxide (P_2O_5), 31% potassium oxide (K_2O), and other essential elements, with a pH of
76 6.8. Husk charcoal has a high water-holding capacity, a crumbly texture, good air circulation,
77 a high cation exchange capacity (CEC), and is effective at absorbing sunlight (Khan et al.,
78 2014). Its additional properties include water-binding ability, resistance to clumping,
79 affordability, good porosity, light weight, sterility, and ease of availability (Sofyan et al.,
80 2019).

81 The application of mycorrhizal biofertilizer is also an effective alternative to enhancing
82 agricultural productivity. Mycorrhiza plays a key role in improving nutrient supply and
83 absorption, thereby reducing the reliance on inorganic fertilizers. Additionally, it enhances
84 plant resistance to drought by assisting in the absorption of water that is otherwise
85 inaccessible to the roots (Wahab et al., 2023). Consequently, the addition of nutrient
86 sources through fertilization is expected to boost crop yields both quantitatively and
87 qualitatively (Raklami et al., 2019).

88 The research aimed to determine the effects of different ameliorants on sweet corn growth
89 and productivity. Specifically, it evaluates nutrient concentrations (N and P), plant uptake,
90 growth metrics, yield, and mycorrhizal populations.

91

92 2. METHODOLOGY

93

94 2.1. Time and Place

95

96 This experiment was conducted in MoncokKarya, Ampenan from February to July 2024.

97

98 2.2. Experimental Design

99

100 This experiment was conducted using a randomized block design with five
101 treatments, namely; Control, no ameliorant (A0), Rice Husk Charcoal (AA), Cow Manure
102 (AS), Compost (AK), and Fertile Organic Fertilizer Ameliorant (AP). Each treatment was
103 repeated four times so that there were 20 experimental plots.

104

105 2.3. Preparation and Application of Ameliorants and Indegenous Mycorrhizae

106

107 At planting time the Ameliorant and the mycorrhiza were applied. All ameliorants according
108 to treatments were applied at a dosage of 15 tons/ha. The mycorrhiza was applied as
109 powdered mycorrhizal inoculum, made from a mixture of soil, roots, hyphae, and mycorrhizal
110 spores propagated in pot culture. The pot culture was prepared in polybags containing 5 kg
111 of soil, a sterilized mixture of soil and cow manure (1:1), inoculated with 40 g of mycorrhizal
112 inoculum per polybag. These polybags were used for mycorrhizal propagation and planted
113 with maize trap plants. After 50 days, the pots and plants were dismantled, and the roots and
114 soil were air-dried for a week. Then, the soil was sieved using a 2 mm sieve, and the roots
115 were blended into a fine powder and evenly mixed with the sieved soil. The final product was
116 a powdered mycorrhizal inoculum.

117

118

119 2.4. Plant Maintenance

120

121 All plots were kept clean from weeds and the soil was maintained wet to approximately field
 122 capacity with good drainage system. Sweet corn was harvested after the plants reached 70
 123 days after planting (DAP), when the corn kernels were still soft, not too mature, and the
 124 husks were still a fresh green color.

125

126 2.5. Observation Parameters

127

128 Plant Biomass (wet/dry weight of shoots and roots), yield components (wet and dry stover,
 129 cob weight, diameter, and length) were measured and nutrient concentrations (N and P),
 130 nutrient uptake, mycorrhizal spores, and root colonization were analyzed in the laboratories.

131

132 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

133

134 3.1. Biomass Production

135

136 The application of cow manure significantly boosted wet and dry biomass weight of both
 137 shoots and roots at 42 and 65 DAP (Table 1).

138 **Table 1. The average weight of wet and dry biomass in ameliorant treatments aged 42**
 139 **and 65 DAP**

Ameliorative Treatment	Shoots (g)		Root (g)	
	42 dap	65 dap	42 dap	65 dap
Wet Biomass				
A0: Control	93.34 ^e	148.59 ^d	25.31 ^e	40.45 ^d
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	169.05 ^d	184.43 ^c	51.18 ^d	80.37 ^c
US: Cow Manure	227.40 ^a	252.23 ^a	89.34 ^a	129.07 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	197.74 ^b	228.93 ^{ab}	77.80 ^b	96.82 ^b
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	183.03 ^c	210.44 ^b	65.43 ^c	86.16 ^{bc}
BNJ 5%	6.27	24.89	7.02	14.73
Dry Biomass				
A0: Control	42.26 ^e	74.83 ^d	18.04 ^{and}	23.66 ^d
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	89.81 ^d	134.25 ^c	27.44 ^d	32.99 ^c
US: Cow Manure	148.45 ^a	192.46 ^a	59.58 ^a	69.89 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	128.73 ^b	166.21 ^b	43.83 ^b	49.43 ^b
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	111.75 ^c	154.29 ^{bc}	34.73 ^c	41.08 ^{bc}
LSD 5%	13.83	21.91	3.60	8.35

140

141 The use of cow manure ameliorant (AS) had a significant effect on the increase of the wet
 142 and dry biomass weight of plant shoots and roots at 42 and 65 DAP. At 42 DAP, plants
 143 treated with cow manure ameliorant showed a significant increase in both wet and dry
 144 biomass weight compared to the control. This was attributed to better nutrient availability
 145 from the cow manure, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which support
 146 vegetative growth and root development (de Moura Zanine, 2015; Atmaja et al., 2019; Rayne
 147 and Aula, 2020). Additionally, the increased mycorrhizal activity resulted from the application
 148 of cow manure contributed to the breakdown of organic material into a form more easily
 149 absorbed by plants, thereby enhancing the availability of essential nutrients (Suntoro et al.,
 150 2018). It was reported that the increase in the number and activity of these microorganisms
 151 not only improved soil structure and increases water retention, but also it supported the
 152 formation and development of healthier, stronger plant tissues (Hartmann and Six, 2023).

153 At 65 DAP, the long-term effects of cow manure became even more apparent, with a
 154 significant increase in both wet and dry biomass weights of plant shoots and roots compared
 155 to the control. Improved soil fertility, enhanced microbial activity, and better water retention
 156 contributed to higher biomass accumulation (Guo et al., 2019). The increase in soil fertility
 157 resulted from cow manure application was also thought to support the efficiency of
 158 photosynthesis and plant metabolism, ultimately leading to greater biomass accumulation.
 159 Improved soil conditions allow roots to develop more effectively, enabling them to absorb
 160 water and nutrients more efficiently. Meanwhile, a larger canopy indicates an increased
 161 photosynthetic capacity (Golden et al., 2023).

162 Overall, the use of cow manure as an ameliorant was very effective to increase the wet and
 163 dry biomass weight of plant shoots and roots at 42 and 65 DAP. Such improvement was
 164 reported to be due to a combination of better nutrient availability, increased mycorrhizal
 165 activity, improved soil structure, and enhanced soil fertility (Fall et al., 2022).

166 3.2. Yield Components

167
 168 Cow manure treatment significantly increased yield components, including wet and dry cob
 169 weight, cob length, and diameter. This treatment also increased stover weight,
 170 demonstrating its efficacy in supporting overall plant productivity (Table 2).

171 **Table 2. Average plant yield components in the ameliorant treatment at 65 days after**
 172 **planting**

Ameliorant Treatment	WCW	DCW	WCWP	CD	CL
A0: Control	74.89 ^e	43.89 ^d	4.83 ^e	3.59 ^d	19.24 ^e
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilize	173.25 ^d	76.99 ^c	5.96 ^d	4.19 ^c	22.53 ^d
US: Cow Manure	246.97 ^a	150.49 ^a	9.03 ^a	5.60 ^a	26.02 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	225.55 ^b	125.83 ^b	7.91 ^b	5.33 ^a	24.72 ^b
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	212.50 ^c	111.26 ^b	6.97 ^c	5.00 ^b	23.65 ^c
LSD 5%	12.61	18.35	0.44	0.31	0.54

173 Note: WCW (Wet cob weight), Dry cob weight (DCW), Wet cob weight per plot (WCWP),
 174 Cob diameter (CD), Cob length (CL).
 175

176 In Table 3, the ameliorant treatment of cow manure with (AS) had a significant effect on the
 177 weight of wet and dry stover per plot compared to other treatments. The wet and dry
 178 weightsof stover in plots treatedwith cow manure ameliorant were increased one and a half
 179 times and two times, respectively, compared to the control (Table 3).

180 **Table 3. Weight of wet and dry stover per plot (kg) in ameliorant treatment at 65 days**

Ameliorant Treatment	Wet stover weight	Dry stover weight
A0: Control	6.89 ^e	2.87 ^d
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	7.75 ^d	3.12 ^d
US: Cow Manure	9.42 ^a	4.83 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	8.41 ^b	3.85 ^b
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	8.10 ^c	3.48 ^c
LSD 5%	0.25	0.34

181
 182 Cow manure ameliorant (AS) had a significant effect on increasing crop production, which
 183 can be explained through various interacting mechanisms. Cow manure is rich in
 184 macronutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which are essential for plant
 185 growth (Esmailpour et al., 2020). Nitrogen plays a role in the synthesis of amino acids and
 186 proteins, which are vital for vegetative tissue growth, while phosphorus is involved in the

187 formation of strong roots and energy transfer within plant cells. In line with these two
188 elements, Potassium aids in stomatal regulation and enhances the efficiency of
189 photosynthesis.

190 In addition to providing nutrients, the application of cow manure also boosts mycorrhizal
191 activity in decomposing organic matter and increasing nutrient availability for plants (Gumu,
192 2019). Mycorrhiza not only enhances nutrient availability but also improves soil structure,
193 leading to better aeration and the soil's ability to retain water. Furthermore, cow manure
194 increases the cation exchange capacity of the soil, enabling it to store more nutrients that
195 can be absorbed by plants, thereby improving productivity.

196 The increase in organic matter content in the soil due to cow manure ameliorant treatment
197 also enhances water retention and helps prevent drought, both of which are essential for
198 optimal plant growth (Ullah et al., 2021). Ultimately, all of these factors contribute to a
199 significant increase in crop yields. This research demonstrates that plants treated with cow
200 manure produced more biomass and higher yields compared to those without organic
201 fertilizer treatment. Therefore, the use of cow manure as an ameliorant not only improves
202 soil quality but also enhances nutrient use efficiency, thereby promoting increased crop
203 production (Buhnia et al., 2021).

204 3.3. Nutrient Uptake

205
206 Cow manure ameliorant doubled the total N concentration and increased available P
207 concentration by up to six times at 42 and 65 DAP (Table 4).

208 **Table 4. Average concentrations of total N and available P nutrients in the ameliorant**
209 **treatment aged 42 and 65 DAP**

Ameliorant Treatment	N total (g.kg ⁻¹)		P available (mg kg ⁻¹)	
	42 dap	65 dap	42 dap	65 dap
A0: Control	0.91 ^e	8.31 ^e	15.72 ^e	19.21 ^e
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	1.41 ^d	16.75 ^d	17.82 ^d	27.14 ^d
US: Cow Manure	1.77 ^a	65.15 ^a	61.95 ^a	76.75 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	1.65 ^b	45.46 ^b	35.92 ^b	51.53 ^b
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	1.50 ^c	20.85 ^c	19.14 ^c	35.74 ^c
BNJ 5%	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.03

210
211 Ameliorant treatment using cow manure (AS) had a significant effect on the concentration of
212 total nitrogen (N) and available phosphorus (P) in the soil, which are key factors in
213 enhancing soil fertility. Cow manure contains a high nitrogen content, which, when applied to
214 the soil, is decomposed by mycorrhiza into simpler forms that are easily absorbed by plants,
215 thereby increasing the total nitrogen concentration in the soil (Putra et al., 2020). This
216 mineralization process is driven by microbial activity, which accelerates the decomposition of
217 organic materials, converting organic nitrogen into inorganic forms such as ammonium
218 (NH₄⁺) and nitrate (NO₃⁻), making them more available to plants (Rayne and Aula, 2020).

219 In addition, the phosphorus content in cow manure not only contributes directly to increasing
220 available phosphorus, but also alters the dynamics of phosphorus bound in the soil.
221 Enhanced microbial activity facilitates nutrient mineralization, improving plant nutrient uptake
222 efficiency (Das et al., 2022). The results of this research also show that the ameliorant
223 treatment of cow manure increased the cation exchange capacity of the soil, which helps
224 retain nutrients better and reduces nutrient leaching due to high rainfall (Goldberg et al.,
225 2020).

226 Furthermore, the increase in organic material content from cow manure can improve soil
 227 structure, which in turn enhances aeration and water retention. All these factors contribute to
 228 the increased availability of total nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients, which are essential for
 229 optimal plant growth (Astiko et al., 2019). Thus, the use of cow manure as an ameliorant has
 230 proven to be effective in improving soil quality and nutrient availability, thereby supporting
 231 better plant growth and production (Li et al., 2022).

232 Cow manure (AS) ameliorant treatment significantly increased plant N and P nutrient uptake
 233 compared to other treatments at 42 DAP. Compared to the control itself, the increase in
 234 plant N and P nutrient uptake in the cow manure ameliorant treatment was up to twofold in
 235 the maximum vegetative growth phase (Table 5).

236 **Table 5. Average N and P nutrient uptake of plants in the ameliorant treatment aged 42**
 237 **DAP**

Ameliorant Treatment	N uptake (g kg ⁻¹)	P absorption (g kg ⁻¹)
	42 dap	42 dap
A0: Control	21.46 ^a	2.01 ^a
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	29.74 ^d	2.23 ^d
US: Cow Manure	43.84 ^a	4.07 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	33.42 ^b	3.85 ^b
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	31.94 ^c	3.34 ^c
LSD 5%	0.02	0.02

238 The use of cow manure (AS) as an ameliorant had a significant impact on the uptake of
 239 nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) nutrients by plants, as evidenced by an increase in the
 240 concentration of these nutrients in plant tissues compared to the control. Cow manure
 241 contains nitrogen in an organic form that is easily decomposed and can be converted by
 242 mycorrhiza into a more plant-available form, such as ammonium (NH₄⁺) and nitrate (NO₃⁻),
 243 through the mineralization process (Paula, 2021). This process not only increases nitrogen
 244 availability but also boosts microbial activity in the soil, which is essential for breaking down
 245 bound nitrogen compounds,).

247 On the other hand, better phosphorus availability is also achieved with the application of cow
 248 manure. The activity of microorganisms, such as bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi, triggered by
 249 the addition of this organic fertilizer, helps the release of phosphorus bound in the soil,
 250 making it more accessible to plants (Etesami et al., 2021). Additionally, cow manure
 251 improves soil structure by increasing organic matter content, which enhances cation
 252 exchange capacity and water retention, allowing the soil to store more nutrients and
 253 reducing nutrient leaching due to rainfall (Loss et al., 2019).

254 Earlier research showed that plants treated with cow manure ameliorant exhibited a
 255 significant increase in nitrogen and phosphorus uptake, which positively impacted plant
 256 growth, development, and yield (Mutammimah et al., 2020). Therefore, applying cow manure
 257 as an ameliorant not only increases the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in the soil but
 258 also enhances the ability of plants to efficiently absorb these nutrients, making it crucial for
 259 boosting sustainable agricultural productivity (Naveed et al., 2020).

260

261 3.4. Mycorrhizal Activity

262

263 Cow manure significantly increased mycorrhizal spore counts and root colonization at the
 264 ages of 42 and 65 DAP. The increase in the number of spores in the ameliorant treatment of
 265 cow manure compared to the control was twofold, while colonization increased up to one
 266 and a half times (Table 6).

267 **Table 6. The mean number of spores (spores per 100 g of soil) and colonization value**
 268 **(%-colonization) in ameliorant treatments aged 42 and 65 DAP**

Ameliorant Treatment	Number of spores		Colonization	
	42 dap	65 dap	42 dap	65 dap
A0: Control	1101 ^d	1953 ^e	60.00 ^d	70.00 ^d
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	1218 ^d	2384 ^d	70.00 ^c	80.00 ^c
US: Cow Manure	2323 ^a	4000 ^a	90.00 ^a	96.66 ^a
AK: Compost Fertilizer	1508 ^b	2957 ^b	80.00 ^b	90.00 ^{ab}
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	1364 ^c	2669 ^c	76.66 ^{bc}	83.33 ^{bc}
BNJ 5%	140.07	274.97	9.72	8.76

269 The use of cow manure (AS) as an ameliorant significantly increased the number of
 270 mycorrhizal spores and the level of root colonization by mycorrhiza compared to the control,
 271 which had positive implications for plant health and productivity. Cow manure is rich in
 272 organic materials that support the growth of microorganisms in the soil, including mycorrhizal
 273 fungi, which form a symbiotic relationship with plant roots. When these fertilizers are applied,
 274 the decomposed organic matter provides a source of nutrients necessary for the
 275 development and proliferation of mycorrhizal spores (Li et al., 2022).
 276

277 The results of this study indicated that the addition of cow manure ameliorant significantly
 278 increased the number of mycorrhizal spores in the soil, as the organic material facilitates the
 279 growth and activity of these fungi (Herawati et al., 2021). Additionally, the increase in the
 280 number of spores leads to higher root colonization by mycorrhiza. This occurs because
 281 mycorrhizal fungi penetrate plant root tissue and form arbuscular mycorrhizal structures,
 282 which are effective in enhancing nutrient absorption, especially phosphorus, which is critical
 283 for optimal plant growth (Bhantana et al., 2021). This symbiotic relationship not only
 284 improves nutrient uptake efficiency but also helps plants cope with abiotic stress such as
 285 drought(Bhatt et al., 2019).

286 Thus, the use of cow manure ameliorant not only increases the number and activity of
 287 mycorrhizal spores but also strengthens the symbiotic interactions that are vital for plant
 288 health and soil fertility (Ozlu et al., 2019).

289 **4. CONCLUSION**

290
 291 Cow manure was a highly effective ameliorant for improving the growth and productivity of
 292 sweet corn in sandy soil. It enhanced the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus (NP),
 293 increased biomass and yield, and improved soil fertility while promoting mycorrhizal activity.
 294 This study highlighted the importance of organic amendments in sustainable agricultural
 295 practices.
 296

297 **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

298

299 Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language
300 Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the
301 writing or editing of this manuscript.

302

303 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

304

305 The authors declare no competing interests.

306

307 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

308

309 Wahyu Astiko: Experiment design, interpretation, and manuscript writing. Sudirman:
310 Grammar and English editing and tissue analysis. Ni Made Laksmi Ernawati and
311 Irwan Muthahanas: Data analysis, laboratory observations, and soil analysis. All authors
312 approved the final manuscript.

313

314

315

316 **Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

317 **Option 1:**

318 Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language
319 Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the
320 writing or editing of this manuscript.

321 **Option 2:**

322 Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models,
323 etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will
324 include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well
325 as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

326 Details of the AI usage are given below:

327 1.

328 2.

329 3.

330

331

332

333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385

REFERENCES

- Astiko, W., Wangiyana, W., & Susilowati, L. E. 2019. Indigenous Mycorrhizal Seed-coating Inoculation on Plant Growth and Yield, and NP-uptake and Availability on Maizesorghum Cropping Sequence in Lombok's Drylands. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 42(3).
- Astiko, W., Ernawati, N. M. L., & Silawibawa, I. P. (2023). The effectiveness of ameliorants addition on phosphorus, nitrogen uptake, growth and yield of maize in sandy soil. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2956, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
- Atmaja, D., Wirajaya, A. A. N. M., & Kartini, L. 2019. Effect of goat and cow manure fertilizer on the growth of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L). *Sustainable Environment Agricultural Science*, 3(1), 19-23.<http://dx.doi.org/10.22225/seas.3.1.1336.19-23>
- Ayilara, M. S., Olanrewaju, O. S., Babalola, O. O., & Odeyemi, O. (2020). Waste management through composting: Challenges and potentials. *Sustainability*, 12(11), 4456.
- Azim, K., Soudi, B., Boukhari, S., Perissol, C., Roussos, S., & Thami Alami, I. (2018). Composting parameters and compost quality: a literature review. *Organic agriculture*, 8, 141-158.
- Central Bureau of Statistics. 2022. "Corn Productivity Data in Indonesia in 2021". Available at: <https://www.bps.go.id/>. accessed on March 10, 2024
- Bhatt, M. K., Labanya, R., & Joshi, H. C. 2019. Influence of long-term chemical fertilizers and organic manures on soil fertility-A review. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7(5), 177-188.[DOI: 10.13189/ujar.2019.070502](https://doi.org/10.13189/ujar.2019.070502)
- Bhantana, P., Rana, M. S., Sun, X. C., Moussa, M. G., Saleem, M. H., Syaifudin, M., & Hu, C. X. (2021). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and its major role in plant growth, zinc nutrition, phosphorous regulation and phytoremediation. *Symbiosis*, 84, 19-37.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-021-00756-6>
- Bhunia, S., Bhowmik, A., Mallick, R., & Mukherjee, J. (2021). Agronomic efficiency of animal-derived organic fertilizers and their effects on biology and fertility of soil: A review. *Agronomy*, 11(5), 823.<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050823>
- Das, P. P., Singh, K. R., Nagpure, G., Mansoori, A., Singh, R. P., Ghazi, I. A., & Singh, J. (2022). Plant-soil-microbes: A tripartite interaction for nutrient acquisition and better plant growth for sustainable agricultural practices. *Environmental Research*, 214, 113821.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113821>
- de Moura Zanine, A., & de Jesus Ferreira, D. (2015). Animal manure as a nitrogen source to grass. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 6(07), 899.[10.4236/ajps.2015.67098](https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2015.67098)
- Duchaufour, R. (2012). *Pedology: pedogenesis and classification*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Esmailpour, B., Einizadeh, S., & Pourrahimi, G. 2020. Effects of vermicompost produced from cow manure on the growth, yield and nutrition contents of cucumber (*Cucumis sativa*). *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 21(1), 104-112.[DOI: /10.5513/JCEA01/21.1.2121](https://doi.org/10.5513/JCEA01/21.1.2121)
- Etesami, H., Jeong, B. R., & Glick, B. R. (2021). Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, and silicon to P uptake by plant. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 699618.[doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.699618](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.699618)

- 386 Fall, A. F., Nakabonge, G., Ssekandi, J., Founoune-Mboup, H., Apori, S. O., Ndiaye, A.,
387 & Ngom, K. (2022). Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on soil fertility: contribution
388 in the improvement of physical, chemical, and biological properties of the soil.
389 *Frontiers in Fungal Biology*, 3, 723892. doi: 10.3389/ffunb.2022.723892
- 390 Guo, Z., Zhang, J., Fan, J., Yang, X., Yi, Y., Han, X., & Peng, X. 2019. Does animal manure
391 application improve soil aggregation? Insights from nine long-term fertilization
392 experiments. *Science of the Total Environment*, 660, 1029-
393 1037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.051>
- 394 Gurmu, G. 2019. Soil organic matter and its role in soil health and crop productivity
395 improvement. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 7(7), 475-483. DOI:
396 10.14662/ARJASR2019.147
- 397 Goldberg, N., Nachshon, U., Argaman, E., & Ben-Hur, M. (2020). Short term effects of
398 livestock manures on soil structure stability, runoff and soil erosion in semi-arid soils
399 under simulated rainfall. *Geosciences*, 10(6), 213.
- 400 Goldan, E., Nedef, V., Barsan, N., Culea, M., Panainte-Lehadus, M., Mosnegutu, E., &
401 Irimia, O. 2023. Assessment of manure compost used as soil amendment review.
402 *Processes*, 11(4), 1167. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11041167>
- 403 Hartmann, M., & Six, J. (2023). Soil structure and microbiome functions in agroecosystems.
404 *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 4(1), 4-18. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00366-w)
405 [022-00366-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00366-w)
- 406 Herawati, A., Syamsiyah, J., Mujiyo, M., Rochmadtulloh, M., Susila, A. A., & Romadhon, M.
407 R. 2021. Mycorrhizae and a soil ameliorant on improving the characteristics of sandy
408 soil. *SAINS TANAH-Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology*, 18(1), 73-
409 80. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20961/stjssa.v18i1.43697>
- 410 Huang, J., & Hartemink, A. E. (2020). Soil and environmental issues in sandy soils. *Earth-*
411 *Science Reviews*, 208, 103295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103295>
- 412 Huo, W. G., Chai, X. F., Wang, X. H., Batchelor, W. D., Kafle, A., & Gu, F. E. N. G. 2022.
413 Indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi play a role in phosphorus depletion in
414 organic manure amended high fertility soil. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 21(10),
415 3051-3066. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jia.2022.07.045>
- 416 Khan, F., Khan, S., Fahad, S., Faisal, S., Hussain, S., Ali, S., & Ali, A. (2014). Effect of
417 different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on the phenology and yield of maize
418 varieties. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2014. DOI: 10.4236/ajps.2014.517272
- 419 Liu, S., Wang, J., Pu, S., Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., & Razavi, B. S. (2020). Impact of
420 manure on soil biochemical properties: A global synthesis. *Science of the Total*
421 *Environment*, 745, 141003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141003>
- 422 Li, S., Liu, Z., Li, J., Liu, Z., Gu, X., & Shi, L. 2022. Cow manure compost promotes maize
423 growth and ameliorates soil quality in saline-alkali soil: Role of fertilizer addition rate
424 and application depth. *Sustainability*, 14(16),
425 10088. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141610088>
- 426 Loss, A., Couto, R. D. R., Brunetto, G., Veiga, M. D., Toselli, M., & Baldi, E. (2019). Animal
427 manure as fertilizer: changes in soil attributes, productivity and food composition. *Int.*
428 *J. Res. Granthaalayah*, 7(9), 307. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3475563
- 429 Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Indonesia (Kementan RI). 2021. Standard
430 Operational Procedures for Variety Assessment for the Release of Food Crop
431 Varieties. Republic of Indonesia Ministry of Agriculture.
- 432 Mutammimah, U., Minardi, S., & Suryono, S. (2020). Organic amendments effect on the soil
433 chemical properties of marginal land and soybean yield. *Journal of Degraded and*
434 *Mining Lands Management*, 7(4), 2263. DOI: 10.15243/jdmlm.2020.074.2263
- 435 Naveed, M., Sajid, H., Mustafa, A., Niamat, B., Ahmad, Z., Yaseen, M., & Chen, J. T. (2020).
436 Alleviation of salinity-induced oxidative stress, improvement in growth, physiology
437 and mineral nutrition of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) through calcium-fortified
438 composted animal manure. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 846. doi: 10.3390/su12030846

439

440 Ozlu, E., Sandhu, S. S., Kumar, S., & Arriaga, F. J. (2019). Soil health indicators impacted
441 by long-term cattle anure and inorganic fertilizer application in a corn-soybean
442 rotation of South Dakota. *Scientific reports*, 9(1),
443 11776.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48207-z> 1

444 Palacios-Rojas, N., McCulley, L., Kaeppler, M., Titcomb, T. J., Gunaratna, N. S.,
445 Lopez-Ridaura, S., & Tanumihardjo, S. A. (2020). Mining maize diversity and
446 improving its nutritional aspects within agro-food systems. *Comprehensive Reviews*
447 *in Food Science and Food Safety*, 19(4), 1809-1834. DOI: [10.1111/1541-4337.12552](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12552)

448 Paul, A. (2021). Chapter-1 Soil fertility, productivity and integrated nutrient management.
449 *Crop Management Under Stress Conditions*, 167, 1.

450 Putra, S. S., Putra, E. T. S., & Widada, J. 2020. The effects of types of manure and
451 mycorrhizal applications on sandy soils on the growth and yield of curly red chili
452 (*Potato year L.*). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v35i2.34971>

453 Raklami, A., Bechtaoui, N., Tahiri, A. I., Anli, M., Meddich, A., & Oufdou, K. (2019). Use of
454 rhizobacteria and mycorrhizae consortium in the open field as a strategy for
455 improving crop nutrition, productivity and soil fertility. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 10,
456 1106. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2019.01106](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01106)

457 Rayne, N., & Aula, L. 2020. Livestock manure and the impacts on soil health: A review. *Soil*
458 *Systems*, 4(4), 64. doi: [10.3390/soilsystems4040064](https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems4040064)

459 Revilla, P., Anibas, C. M., & Tracy, W. F. (2021). Sweet corn research around the world
460 2015–2020. *Agronomy*, 11(3), 534. doi: [10.3390/agronomy11030534](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11030534)

461 Sayara, T., Basheer-Salimia, R., Hawamde, F., & Sánchez, A. (2020). Recycling of organic
462 wastes through composting: Process performance and compost application in
463 agriculture. *Agronomy*, 10(11), 1838. doi: [10.3390/agronomy10111838](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10111838)

464 Serna-Saldivar, S. O., & Carrillo, E. P. (2019). Food uses of whole corn and dry-milled
465 fractions. In *Corn* (pp. 435-467). AACC International Press.

466 Sofyan, E. T., Sara, D. S., & Machfud, Y. (2019). The effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer
467 applications on N, P-uptake, K-uptake and yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays* ssp. *indica*
468 Sturt). In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 393, No. 1,
469 p. 012021). IOP Publishing. doi: [10.1088/1755-1315/393/1/012021](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/393/1/012021)

470 Suntoro, S., Widiyanto, H., Syamsiyah, J., Afinda, D. W., Dimasyuri, N. R., & Triyas, V. 2018.
471 Effect of cow manure and dolomite on nutrient uptake and growth of corn (*Zea mays*
472 L.). *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 24(6).

473 Sun, Y., Xiong, X., He, M., Xu, Z., Hou, D., Zhang, W., & Tsang, D. C. (2021). Roles of
474 biochar-derived dissolved organic matter in soil amendment and environmental
475 remediation: a critical review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 424,
476 130387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.130387>

477 Swapna, G., Jadesha, G., Mahadevu, P., Shivakumar, B. S., Babu, B. T., & Hanagi, C.
478 (2024). Baby Corn: A New Challenges, Scope, Present Status and Strategies. *Plant*
479 *Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology*, 25(1-2), 1-12. DOI:
480 [10.56557/PCBMB/2024/v25i1-28542](https://doi.org/10.56557/PCBMB/2024/v25i1-28542)

481 Ullah, N., Ditta, A., Imtiaz, M., Li, X., Jan, A. U., Mehmood, S., & Rizwan, M. (2021).
482 Appraisal for organic amendments and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to
483 enhance crop productivity under drought stress: A review. *Journal of Agronomy and*
484 *Crop Science*, 207(5), 783-802. DOI: [10.1111/jac.12502](https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12502)

485 Usda, Nutrient Data Laboratory, A. 2019. Ndb Number: 20648, Sorghum Flour. Retrieved
486 From [https://Fdc.Nal.Usda.Gov/Fdc-App.Html#/Food-Details/168943/Nutrients](https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/fdc-app.html#/food-details/168943/nutrients)

487 Wahab, A., Muhammad, M., Munir, A., Abdi, G., Zaman, W., Ayaz, A., & Reddy, S. P. P.
488 (2023). Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in regulating growth, enhancing
489 productivity, and potentially influencing ecosystems under abiotic and biotic
490 stresses. *Plants*, 12(17), 3102. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12173102>

491 Widowati, L. R., Siregar, A. F., & Samsun, A. (2021, February). The use of soil ameliorants
492 and fertilizers to increase the yields of rice and maize in ultisols Lampung,
493 Indonesia. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 648,
494 No. 1, p. 012198). IOP Publishing. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/648/1/012198
495 Zapałowska, A., & Jarecki, W. (2024). The Impact of Using Different Types of Compost on
496 the Growth and Yield of Corn. *Sustainability*, 16(2),
497 511. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020511>

UNDER PEER REVIEW