Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSE_129875	
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGY FOR DEVELOPING HORTICULTURE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION IN POLLUNG DISTRICT, HUMBANG HASUNDUTAN REGENCY	
Type of the Article		

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is important locally but has not revealed the uniqueness of local-specific horticultural development. If the object of this study is a national strategic program, it should be explicitly stated as a food estate area. What is the problem, what is done in this study, and what are the practical contributions and policy improvements?	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the study is not challenging yet. The better options are: Assessing horticultural food estate programs toward sustainable production strategies	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract, and almost all articles are not comprehensive. Comments for revisions are written in detail in the Review File.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscripts, in general, are scientific. However, major revisions are needed to be considered for publication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are not enough references, and most of them are not up to date. It is recommended to add references regarding site-specific horticultural development, SWOT analysis procedures, AHP, and previous relevant research for Discussion.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English is not yet entirely suitable for scientific communication. A moderate improvement is needed.	
Optional/General comments		$\overline{}$
	Abstract 1. At the beginning of the Abstract, it is crucial to underline the significance of this research in the context of Humbang Hasundutan. This study addresses a specific problem or research gap, which is of utmost importance in horticulture studies.	
	2. State briefly the data, information, and respondents involved and why they are.	
	3. Disclose the study's main findings, such as identifying key factors affecting horticultural production or the development of sustainable farming practices.	
	4. Complete the Abstract with a detailed explanation of the implications of the research results, such as the potential for increased horticultural production, and relevant future research directions, such as exploring the impact of climate change on farming practices.	
	Introduction 1. The results of the latest relevant research must refer to the Introduction and focus on the unique problems faced in the context of Humbang Hasundutan.	
	2. The Introduction should emphasize the potential solutions provided by this research, particularly in increasing the production of sustainable horticultural commodities in the research area.	
	3. The Introduction should conclude with a clear and explicit statement of the research's purpose, helping the reader understand the main goal of the study.	
	Method 1. The number of research informants is very minimal; why? It is one of the limitations of the research.	
	2. What is the population of farmers? Why are there only five informants? How to choose farmer respondents.	
	3. DLQ would be better to complement LQ; DLQ can describe a continuous (dynamic) comparative advantage. Output Description:	
	4. What data is used to calculate LQ and its year period, and what are the data sources?	
	5. Explicitly state the SWOT analysis procedure by the reference.	
	6. What is the relevance of attraction, accessibility, amenity, ancillary, safeness, and comfort for this study?	
	Results and Discussion 1. Results still dominate this part, and the discussion is still very minimal.	
	2. Reveal the implications of the research results, limitations, and future research directions.	
	3. Reveal research benefits: practical, policy, contribution to the body of knowledge?	
	Conclusion The better options to write the Conclusion are problem statements, key findings, implications, contributions, limitations, and future research directions.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jef Rudiantho Saragih
Department, University & Country	Universitas Simalungun, Indonesia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)