

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130002
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparing Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) Progression in Patients Treated with Lifestyle Intervention versus Pharmacotherapy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript describes a meta-analysis comparing the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions and pharmacology intervention over NAFLD. The authors did not justify the drugs studied. The introduction could explore the pharmacology of the medicines as well side effects and risks. The same occur with lifestyle interventions. There are some conditions associated with NAFLD that were not discussed such as intestinal hyperpermeability, food allergies and use of drugs that may impair these conditions. NAFLD is a very complex entity, and the paper must be addressed into this context. The authors oversimplify the issue.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is suitable, however, what is "LSMs"? Abbreviations must be defined at the first appearance and are justifiable if used more than three times. There is no other occurrence in the main text.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the scientific approach is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest to the authors the reading of the following paper to dig in the comprehension of this complex entity: Olivier CE. Considering intestinal permeability and immune metabolism in the treatment of food allergies. <i>Eur J Clin Med.</i> 2022;3(3):13-18.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English grammar is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Celso Eduardo Olivier</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Alergoimuno de Americana, Brazil</b>