Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_124225
Title of the Manuscript:	Curcumin improves cognitive function by restoration of neurotransmitter balance, attenuate oxidative stress, inflammation and improves hippocampal integrity in intestinal ischemia/reperfusion injury induced-cognitive deficit in aged female Wistar rats
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Although the work carried out is undeniable, its presentation as a scientific work has serious drawbacks. The authors establish a direct and unique relationship between IIR and brain damages, but they do not consider the role of the intestinal ischemia on the gut microbiome-brain-axis. A lot of studies have established the influence of this axis on mental disorders, including cognitive impairments. The work is difficult to follow due to the English grammar mistakes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title must be shortened. For example: Effects of curcumin on cognitive impairment in aged female Wistar rats subjected to intestinal ischemia-reperfusion	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract section must be rewritten, because is too long, and part of it might be transferred to the Introduction and Material and Methods sections. The authors must be more precise in the description of their experimental design and the results and conclusions obtained.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The Material and Methods section seems a lab protocol. The subsections may be grouped to better understand the methodology used. Not is established clearly why the treatment with D-galactose during 8 weeks induce the aging in rats. It should be included a reference.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results, although robust, are not clearly presented, requiring numerical values of the means and standard deviation. Sometimes the reader is lost with the inadequate explanation of the results obtained. The work is technically correct. The micrographs corresponding to histological pictures must be improved. A paragraph should be included with the limitations of the study in discussion section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More actualized references must be cited. The cited references do not present a homogeneity of style and many are incomplete.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	A complete review of English is necessary.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alejandro Borrego-Ruiz
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)