

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_126341
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional quality of 'Tchonron' sauce made from <i>Adenia cissampelides</i> and <i>Hibiscus asper</i> leaves cooked with and without potash
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Indigenous foods are becoming popular as it does not undergo extensive processing to increase shelf life which are loaded with artificial chemical and saturated fats. The investigation of locally available foods is the need of the hour to eliminate malnutrition, hunger and fight against NCDs. The study is a very good initiatives to promote the use of it by exposing the nutritional benefits of the sauce.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	"Nutritional quality of 'Tchonron' sauce made from <i>Adenia cissampelides</i> and <i>Hibiscus asper</i> leaves cooked with and without potash" Since the study analyses the nutritional contents I suggest, "Nutritional composition/profile of 'Tchonron' sauce made from <i>Adenia cissampelides</i> and <i>Hibiscus asper</i> leaves cooked with and without potash"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is apt for the manuscript.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is technically sound as it follows standard protocols for the analysis of the tchonron sauce with different variations. The use of high-end instruments like AAS and GC makes it more reliable one. The use of SAIN, LIM are noteworthy.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and recent.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Check and maintain the uniformity of the names/nomenclature used in the MS. In <b>abstract</b> it is mention peanut powder; in <b>material</b> it is oilseed and in methods it is peanuts. Clearly use only one term and maintain uniformity otherwise it is confusing.  Use of English is suggested as French is seen in parameter and footnote of table 1 for wider platforms.  This sentence in 3.1 subsection is contradictory, "However, the addition of potash increased the HA content."	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Somishon Keishing</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>G.P Women's College, Dhanamanjuri University, India</b>