

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_130133
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Selected Heavy Metals in Sediments from Ndambuk River, Busia County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MS is relevant in the area of ecotoxicology and ecological risk assessment • The dependable health status of any river can be obtained from sedimentology as sediments give a more realistic overview compared to other environmental matrices • The MS is also of public health concern against the background of the deleterious effects of heavy metals. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Satisfactory	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No keys words have been presented	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The MS is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references are stale and need to be updated. The Discussion needs to be expanded to include both Continental and International comparisons	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oiseoje Michael Wangboje
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria