Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_130358
Title of the Manuscript:	Role Of Upper And Lower GIT Endoscopy In Patients With Suspected Bilateral Adnexal Masses
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (I part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write re)

Review Form 3

Abstract:Background - Line 11 - This practice may have economic burden specially in low resources countries. So,
Introduction : Line 11-(4)- Importance of CEA-CA125 ratio to dignose GI Primary with metastasis to Ovaries - Not mentioned.
Value of Occult blood in stool to perform or not to do colonoscopy has not been mentioned .
Line 40: This (not this) practice may have (not has) economic burden specially in low resources countries, so this practice needs (not need) to be investigated about its value and need to be justified. (specially - to be omitted) It was noticed in our practice that—
Aim of the work : Line 2-What does the author mean by, 'coloration'?
Methodology: Last but 4th line - What does the author mean by, 'coloration'?
Table 1 :Histo-Pathological Type & Grade of Distribution ofPrimary & Metastatic Ovarian Cancer is not shown.Distribution in the numbers of how many upperGI & how manyLower GI Primaries not given.HP Distribution of GIT Primaries not shown.
In my opinion some minor correction in grammar is needed . Some important tests like Stool Occult blood & CEA/CA125 ratio can suggest Primary GIT Tumor with metastsis to ovaries

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed whighlight that part in the manuson his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jita Parija
Department, University & Country	Acharya Harihar Regional Cancer Centre, India

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write