

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129662
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Participation of the School Community in Overcoming the Primary Schools Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Contributions to the global body of research on adolescent pregnancy, Enhanced comprehension of community involvement in adolescent pregnancy prevention, Implications for public policy and Development of intervention programs.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Clear and Concise	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Improved with some additions and minor revisions for clarity and impact: research gap, Briefly mention the data analysis methods used. For example: "Data were analyzed using thematic analysis and content analysis.". highlight the most significant findings.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Ethical Considerations:</b> Explicitly state the ethical considerations and how they were addressed (e.g., informed consent, confidentiality)	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Look for articles published in academic journals related to education, public health, or social work that address adolescent pregnancy and community participation in Tanzania or similar contexts.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>needs significant improvement to be suitable for scholarly communication. Here's a breakdown of the issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Sentence structure:</b> Some sentences are overly long and complex, making them difficult to understand.</li> <li>2. <b>Subject-verb agreement:</b> Errors in subject-verb agreement are present.</li> <li>3. <b>Tense inconsistency:</b> The tense used throughout the text is inconsistent, which can confuse the reader.</li> <li>4. <b>Article usage:</b> Incorrect or missing articles (a, an, the) are frequent</li> <li>5. <b>Passive voice overuse:</b> The passive voice is overused, making the writing less direct and engaging.</li> <li>6. <b>Wordiness:</b> The text could be more concise and to the point</li> </ol> <p><b>Recommendations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Professional editing:</b> Consider having the manuscript professionally edited by a native English speaker with experience in academic writing</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the literature on adolescent pregnancy and community engagement. By addressing the identified areas for improvement, the authors can significantly enhance the quality and impact of their research</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Elsy Junilia</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Universitas Malahayati, Indonesia</b></p>