

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130174
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study on Growth, Yield and Economics of Potato Production Propagated through Apical Rooted Cuttings
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is relevant and significant to national development. The author(s) successfully explained the import of the study and its implications by giving a good overview of the problem statement. They also justified the study which investigates the comparative growth, yield and economic performance of potato production propagated through apical rooted cuttings (ARCs) in the Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka. The study is creative provides useful information for further studies and makes a valuable contribution to national development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is. The title represents a good description of the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is. The study is well summarized in the abstract. There are no suggestions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is. The authors presented the relevance of the subsections and the structure. There was adequate use of pertinent literature for the study. The depth and soundness of the data analysis were appropriate and valid. The main findings, novelty, and soundness of recommendation were superb.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient, appropriate and relevant to the topic/problem being investigated. The author(s) were able to draw differences and similarities between the manuscript and previous research work	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is. The authors' description of the study location, experimental design, treatments, management practices, data collection, and data analysis was grammatically adequate	
Optional/General comments	The authors' description of the study location, experimental design, treatments, management practices, data collection, and data analysis was adequate. Standard data collection procedures were documented, and appropriate methods were used to generate valid data. The data analysis was adequately sound. The presentation of results was clear, accurate, and satisfactory. The manuscript is suitable for publication.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julius Yirzagla
Department, University & Country	CSIR-SARI, Ghana