

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_128970
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND BEHAVIOURS OF ADOLESCENTS ON SEXUAL AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND RIGHTS IN SELECTED SECONDARY HIGH SCHOOLS IN IFE CENTRAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract, it is best to write an analysis of the situation that is the basis for the research. For example, based on a preliminary survey, it is known how low the knowledge of teenagers is in what percentage. Even though it is only 1-2 sentences, it will strengthen why the research was carried out. 2. research design with descriptive research, please explain whether it is quantitative or qualitative. The subject is also explained whether teenagers, adults, children, elderly. Then it is also discussed a little about the inclusion and exclusion criteria. how to take samples using what formula. 3. In the written abstract there are statistical calculations which mean they are not descriptive but analytical. Please write whether it is case control, or cross sectional etc 4. In the research results it was stated that good knowledge was more numerous than low knowledge. Well, this needs to be explained in a discussion reinforced by journals or up-to-date reference sources which state that high knowledge does not necessarily mean good sexual behavior. My suggestion should be explained in depth as to why good knowledge is still problematic which gives rise to reproductive problems. You can also add an observation table to strengthen the reasons why there is still a problem with high levels of knowledge but reproductive health and the problem of sexually transmitted infections are still a problem. Researchers should also present literature evidence that says there is no relationship between knowledge and sexually transmitted infections 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is not. The relationship between sexual knowledge and behavior and the incidence of sexually transmitted infections in...	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>No, it is not.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract, it is best to write an analysis of the situation that is the basis for the research. For example, based on a preliminary survey, it is known how low the knowledge of teenagers is in what percentage. Even though it is only 1-2 sentences, it will strengthen why the research was carried out. 2. research design with descriptive research, please explain whether it is quantitative or qualitative. The subject is also explained whether teenagers, adults, children, elderly. Then it is also discussed a little about the inclusion and exclusion criteria. how to take samples using what formula. 3. In the written abstract there are statistical calculations which mean they are not descriptive but analytical. Please write whether it is case control, or cross sectional etc 	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes, it is. because the components that should be included in a scientific work or journal article have been written</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>When writing reference sources, it is best to use sources that have been updated for a maximum of 10 years so that the information is accurate.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is necessary to determine whether you want descriptive or analytical research.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Wuri Ratna Hidayani</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>STIKes, Indonesia</p>