

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRNH_128970</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>KNOWLEDGE AND BEHAVIOURS OF ADOLESCENTS ON SEXUAL AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND RIGHTS IN SELECTED SECONDARY HIGH SCHOOLS IN IFE CENTRAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ONLINE PUBLICATION</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript cannot be over emphasized. The manuscript looked the all the five important variables. Knowledge, Behaviour, Sexual, Reproductive and Health	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well captured and all inclusive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate as the inferential analysis are captured	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are well represented. But the second author "Adinew, Y. M., Worku, A. G. & Mengesha Z. B. (2013)." should be properly indented.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript has taken all guidelines into consideration	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Dzer Benjamin Terzungwe
Department, University & Country	Benue state college of nursing sciences, Nigeria