

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_130170
Title of the Manuscript:	Cost Benefit Analysis of Bale Mangrove Agroecotourism in East Lombok Regency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript presents an excellent compilation of results and bibliographical references supporting information on all costs and benefits of mangrove agroecotourism in East Lombok Regency. The manuscript covers all possible topics in the characterization and status of mangrove agroecotourism in East Lombok Regency. The manuscript presents recent information on the reviewed material, addressing topics such as social and economic viability analysis, ecosystem sensitivity to agroecotourism, ecosystem economic value, and analysis of different development strategies (e.g. SWOT analysis, EFAS Matrix, IFAS Matrix, and Analytical Hierarchical Process). 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the title of the article is appropriate. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract is complete, covers all the topics discussed throughout the article, and its conclusion is consistent with the research objective. I don't suggest any changes. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, they are appropriate. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bibliographic review is sufficient and recent. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, it is suitable. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Throughout the text, I made adjustments to the format of thousands and decimals in the results tables. I invite you to review the document and, if you agree, accept the suggested changes. This could have been generated when creating the tables from Microsoft Office Excel software, which may have been configured in European notation (period to separate thousands and comma for decimals), which is the reverse of the format used in English. Throughout the text, it is correct. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anubis Jorge Luis Vélez Mendoza
Department, University & Country	Institute of Marine Science Studies, National University of Colombia, Colombia