

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_129989
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF MATERNAL EDUCATION AND SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS ON CHILD HEALTH IN A WEST AFRICAN COUNTRY.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides critical insights into the impact of maternal education and socioeconomic status on child health, specifically in a West African context. It highlights key relationships that can influence policy interventions aimed at reducing child morbidity and mortality. The study's findings are significant for achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to health and education.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate and reflects the study's objectives clearly.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract effectively summarizes the study's objectives and methodology but lacks detailed findings. Additionally, I noticed a capitalization issue with the word "Distributed," which should be in lowercase as "distributed" unless it is a proper noun or part of a title.</p> <p>Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Include specific numerical results or major conclusions to enhance clarity and impact.2. Revise the capitalization of "Distributed" to "distributed" for consistency and accuracy.	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust, with a sound methodology and clear presentation of results. However, there are areas that require improvement for better clarity and conciseness.</p> <p>Issues and Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue: The introduction repeats information about maternal education multiple times, which disrupts the flow of the narrative. (Introduction Page 2) Suggestion: Merge repetitive content about maternal education.2. Issue: The sampling method described as "random sampling" could confuse readers since balloting is mentioned. (Methodology Page 3) Suggestion: Clarify whether this is a simple random or stratified random sampling technique.3. Issue: Results are comprehensive but lack depth in statistical interpretation (e.g., Chisquare test results). (Results Page 5) Suggestion: Expand on statistically significant findings and include confidence intervals where applicable.4. Issue: The conclusion is aligned with the study's objectives but reiterates points already discussed in the results. (Page 15) Suggestion: Include actionable recommendations for policymakers and health practitioners.	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and relevant but inconsistently formatted.</p> <p>Suggestion: Standardize the reference formatting to ensure consistency. E.g. There is no full stop after reference no 7. (7 Mutalik AV, Raje VV. Relationship between maternal education and socioeconomic status on knowledge, attitude and practice of mother and her child regarding acute diarrhoeal diseases. Int J Community Med Public Health 2017;4:4472-6.)</p>	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear but contains minor grammatical errors (e.g., "carter" instead of "cater"). (Abstract) Suggestion: Perform a thorough proofreading for grammar and typographical errors.	
Optional/General comments	The study is commendable for its focus on a vital public health issue. Strengthening the discussion with more comparisons specific to the West African region will enhance the manuscript's relevance. No ethical issues were identified. The study adheres to ethical standards, including obtaining ethical approval and informed consent from participants. No plagiarism was detected in the manuscript. The manuscript is well-written and scientifically sound. Minor revisions are needed to enhance clarity, particularly in the abstract and results sections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abid Ali
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University, Pakistan