Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_130037
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF LOW BIRTH WEIGHT AND NUTRITIONAL RISK FACTORS AMONG NEWBORNS IN FAFAN AND LIBAN ZONE, SOMALI REGION, ETHIOPIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to know the prevalence of low birth weight as it is one of the important indicators which reflect the health status as well as adequacy of health services in a country. Also, various factors play role in causation of Low birth weight. It is important to get insight into these factors to take corrective actions by health department to make an impact for the improvement of the indicator i.e. low birth weight.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The term Prevalence is used when study is community/population based. For a hospital based study it's the proportion of low birth weight neonates being studied. So, Proportion is a more appropriate term to be used in this study title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No addition or deletions suggested.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References 1-3 and 16-18 are not cited anywhere in the text. Reference used for calculating sample size is very old. Some references are not cited as per the standard bibliography.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammer, typographical and punctuation mistakes that can be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajeshree S Dhok
Department, University & Country	BAVMC, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)