

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130109
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the challenges faced by Disadvantage Students in Schools in Sierra Leone : Case study -Marginalized Students from Low-income Families
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript explores the challenges faced by disadvantaged students from low-income families in Sierra Leone, focusing on the practical implications of the "Radical Inclusion Policy." The topic is highly relevant to the discourse on educational equity and inclusion. The study's key contribution lies in revealing systemic barriers and providing actionable recommendations for stakeholders.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title accurately reflects the manuscript's content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract sufficiently outlines the study's aim, methodology, and key findings. However, adding a specific quantitative finding, such as "95.2% of students reported safety concerns," would enhance clarity and relevance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study is methodologically sound, employing a mixed-methods approach with clear data analysis using SPSS. The reliance on a small sample size limits generalizability but does not undermine the scientific rigor of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate and relevant. Adding more international literature on inclusive education could broaden the contextual scope. Suggested addition: Literature on challenges in implementing inclusive policies globally.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is written in scholarly English, meeting academic standards. Some sentences could be revised for better flow and to reduce redundancy. For example, repetitive phrases could be simplified for conciseness.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yunus Bekdemir
Department, University & Country	Turkey