

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130109
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the challenges faced by Disadvantage Students in Schools in Sierra Leone : Case study -Marginalized Students from Low-income Families
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is insightful. The topic is essential to be studied and issues related to marginalised community need to be addressed with the help of research. However, there are some components which needs to be consider by the author. The sample size is inadequate which makes the study bias and reduces the generalisation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Trim the abstract as it is lengthy.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	As sample size is small, it is hard to generalise it. It also makes the study bias. It is not scientifically correct. The analysis part needs to be structured properly. For reader, it would be difficult to read the interpretation. Also, mention the details about the questionnaire which you have administered, such as validity, reliability, and its dimension. Ethical consideration is missing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent but not properly in format. There are some faults which I have highlighted. The author did not followed the APA referencing style.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical error as well punctuation. For scholarly communication, there are some grammatical error found, kindly check the doc file in which I have highlighted some. The conclusion section should be in paragraph, it will make it more effective and readable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Not required	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harshul Banodha
Department, University & Country	Dayalbagh Educational Institute, India