

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129714
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a E-Student Worksheet Based on Phenomenon Based Learning (PhenoBL) on Reaction Rate Material Using the Wizer.me Website For Class XI High School/MA
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript highlights the effectiveness of phenomenon-based learning and digital tools like E-Student Worksheets in improving student engagement and comprehension in chemistry.</li> <li>2. It addresses the growing need for digital literacy and independent learning in education.</li> <li>3. Furthermore, it offers a validated model for curriculum enhancement, providing valuable guidance for modernizing teaching practices</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<p>The title aligns well in terms of the subject matter and purpose of the research. However, it can be slightly refined to improve clarity, eliminate redundancy, and ensure consistency.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The phrase "Development of a E-Student Worksheet" is slightly awkward due to the indefinite article "a." Changing it to "an" or rephrasing it improves grammatical flow.</li> <li>2. The title is lengthy and could be more concise without losing key details.</li> </ol> <p>Therefore, the following title is suggested:            "Developing an E-Student Worksheet Using Phenomenon-Based Learning (PhenoBL) for Reaction Rate Material on Wizer.me for Class XI High School/MA"</p>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, but may be improved based on the following guidelines:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract begins with the aim of the paper. It could have briefly mentioned why this approach is significant or the gap it addresses.</li> <li>2. In explain the method; the explanation is sufficient but slightly verbose. Consider simplifying by omitting "<b>but this research was only carried out only up to the development stage</b>" unless it's critical to the study's limitations.</li> <li>3. It provides detailed validation results; but it could be improved by summarizing percentage breakdowns more succinctly to avoid overwhelming the reader.</li> <li>4. The conclusion could connect the findings more explicitly to the potential impact on educational practices or outcomes</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<p>Yes, because it adheres to established principles of research methodology, includes proper references, and presents logical arguments. However, it could strengthen its argument by explicitly stating <i>why</i> the chosen approach (PhenoBL and Wizer.me) is superior to other digital or pedagogical methods.</p>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<p>The refernces are sufficient and recent, but to make it more relevant the author could add recent papers in 2024 such as:            "Ualikhanova, B., Ormanova, G., Berdaliyev, D., Mussakhan, N., Anas, B., &amp; Güdekli, E. (2024). Impact of Phenomenon-Based Learning on High School Physics Education in Shymkent,</p>	

**Review Form 3**

	Kazakhstan. <i>Qubahan Academic Journal</i> , 4(4), 225-236."	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication in this paper	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bashir Idris</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal College of Education, Nigeria</b>