Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_128937
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE LEVELS OF HEAVY METALS IN SOIL: A CASE STUDY OF AHOADA WEST LOCAL GOVERNMENT ARE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

REA IN RIVERS STATE

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (part in the manuscript his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript gives an insight into the number of heavy metals in soils of the Ahoda part of River State Nigeria. Heavy metals in the environment is dangerous not only to the ecosystem and human health. Therefore, this study is significant to giving information about the number of heavy metals in soils of the area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	 No, the title should be more explicit. 1. Is Ahoda, Rivers State in United States of America or Morroca, Please specify the country where this area is located 2. Considering recapturing the title of paper 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	 The abstract still needs to be worked on. The following aspects should be looked into: Methodology: Please specify how many samples were collected from each sites and overall No sample preparation techniques were presented No points to show how the metals were extracted from the soils The keywords should be arranged alphabetically 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please	The manuscript is scientifically correct but it can be better enhanced. Authors should see the general	
write here. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	comment section for details on this. The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English language is okay for scholarly for communication	
Optional/General comments	 The following issues should be looked into: The research reported in this manuscript is too shallow. I will suggest that more detailed work should be done to ascertain the level of pollution in soils of the area. Risk of the heavy metals in the soil to the ecosystem can also be considered. Results in this study were not compared to any other study that is similar to it. No map is presented to show the study area No description of the geology of the area. The geology is important to the accumulation of the heavy metals into the environment The tables are not well presented. The title of the table should not be placed inside the table but above it 	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olaniyi JohnPaul Popoola
Department, University & Country	Achievers University, Nigeria

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write