

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_129732
Title of the Manuscript:	Congenital Bilateral Maxillomandibular Syngnathia with Delayed Presentation and Surgical Intervention – a Case Report and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript makes a valuable contribution to the understanding and management of temporomandibular dysfunctions . It explores innovative approaches and provides clinical insights that are useful for healthcare professionals, highlighting understudied aspects of the subject. The data and analyses presented could also serve as a foundation for future research in this field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title appears relevant but could be slightly revised to better reflect the content and attract a broader scientific audience. For example: "Clinical Assessment and Management of Temporomandibular Dysfunctions: A Comprehensive Approach" (adjust based on the actual content of the article).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive, but it may benefit from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A clearer statement of the main objectives of the study. • Emphasis on the most significant findings. • A concise explanation of the clinical relevance of the conclusions. If any details are redundant or overly technical, they could be simplified for better clarity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well-structured and presents valuable insights into the topic. Consider providing additional discussion on potential clinical implications or future research directions to strengthen the article further	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zenati Latifa
Department, University & Country	University of Health Science of Algiers1, Algeria