Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_130062**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Enhancing Early English Exposure for Rural Left-Behind Children: Integrating Home-Kindergarten Collaboration and Learning Qualities Development**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study identifies key barriers to early English exposure for left-behind children in rural Guangxi, including inadequate caregiver support and resources. Kindergartens introduce English through engaging activities, but limited family involvement hinders progress. Context-sensitive strategies, like caregiver training, enhance educational equity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Absolutely suitable**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract seems to be sensible. Therefore, no recommendations are needed.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically justified	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references seem to be perfect incorporating the latest data in Literature Review, Tables consisting latest information. But at the same time the references are not arranged as per the latest format.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Of course, the language used is moderate and the manuscript can be a good source of information.	
Optional/General comments	1. Over all, the manuscript is a good piece of work yielding valuable information on adding latest/ current data or information to it with suitable and valid references. It requires no corrections and can be published. 2. It is also felt that the recommendations are not clear at the end of the study. The Researcher may work on it to make the work more effective. 3. The order of the references may be changed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ranjit Kumar Elamadurthi**
Department, University & Country	**Vardhaman College of Engineering, India**